AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED

2013 ANNUAL REPORT

American Overseas Group Limited

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter from President and CEO	3
Business	5
Selected Five Year Financial Data	
Management's Analysis of Results of Operations	8
Audited Financial Statements	11
Report of Independent Auditors	12
Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2013 and 2012	13
Consolidated Statements of Operations	14
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income	15
Consolidated Statements of Equity and Retained Deficit	16
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	17
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	18
Directors and Executive Officers Information.	

Dear Shareholders,

I am pleased to report the 2013 annual results for American Overseas Group Limited ("AOG" or the "Company"). Over the past year AOG accomplished the key objectives stated at the end of 2012: prudently growing the property/casualty business line; controlling costs and operational effectiveness; monitoring and managing our capital adequacy and liquidity; and further reducing our financial guaranty risk exposures. Overall, we advanced our transition from the financial guaranty business to the property-casualty reinsurance business.

For 2013 we reported full year net income available to common shareholders of \$10.9 million, mainly due to earnings from our financial guaranty ("FG") segment, which benefitted from refunding activity and negative incurred losses. Our property/casualty ("P&C) segment recorded approximately a breakeven result. For 2013, our operating income was \$8.9 million, as compared to an operating loss of \$6.9 million for 2012. We view operating income, a non-GAAP financial measure which excludes non-operating items such as realized investment gains and losses, unrealized gains and losses on derivatives and foreign currency gains and losses, as a better measure of our performance. Our operating loss in 2012 was primarily the result of commutations completed that year.

Although P&C earnings were minimal, our P&C segment drove significant top line revenue growth in 2013, as net premiums earned increased 32.0% from \$21.5 million in 2012 to \$28.4 million in 2013 due to both the growth of existing P&C reinsurance treaty volume and new treaties added. The current focus of the P&C segment is on one-year, quota share treaties covering policies with a one year term or less. We view this short-tail business as a good fit with the long-tail financial guaranty run-off. The P&C segment is still relatively new for the Company, thus its profitability is anticipated to improve over time.

We achieved annual operating expense savings totaling \$0.6 million in 2013 when compared to 2012. This continued a trend of declining annual operating expenses since 2009 when such expenses were \$12.0 million higher than in 2013. The expense savings in 2013 reflects the efficiency of the management services provided by Reid Street Services Ltd. ("RSSL"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of our largest shareholder, Orpheus Group Ltd. ("Orpheus"). Orpheus owned 43.6% of the outstanding common shares of AOG as of December 31, 2013. The Company's relationship with Orpheus is strategically important and advantageous to our P&C business plans. In the P&C segment, the Company leverages Orpheus' long-standing customer relationships, underwriting expertise and operational infrastructure to build its P&C business prudently and successfully. RSSL also provides management, underwriting, surveillance and operational support to Orpheus' operating subsidiaries engaged in the property/casualty reinsurance business.

In 2013 our financial guaranty risk continued to deleverage. Through natural run-off and the efforts of our ceding primary insurer, our reinsured FG par outstanding declined by 15.2% from \$9.8 billion to \$8.3 billion. The structured finance portion of our FG portfolio, although smaller, declined by a more rapid 24.9% in 2013. Meanwhile, our par exposure to two key risk segments that we monitor closely, US residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and trust preferred collateralized debt obligations ("Trup CDOs"), declined by 19.2% and 12.8%, respectively.

Overall FG losses were moderate in 2013 primarily due to the improving performance of our US RMBS exposures. In this sector we had negative incurred losses for the year of \$(3.9) million resulting from improved delinquencies and loss mitigation efforts of the ceding primary (including settlement of representation and warranty claims and a servicing transfer). The improvement in US RMBS was offset, however, by incurred losses on certain public finance credits totaling \$4.1 million. The public finance losses were primarily attributable to reserving on Puerto Rico exposures and settlements on the City of Harrisburg PA, the Cross City Tunnel (Australia) and the Jefferson County AL Sewer District. Our overall FG incurred losses also benefited from rising interest rates throughout the year, which resulted in higher discount rates used to calculate reserves.

Although we remain willing to entertain commutations of our FG portfolio, we did not complete any such transactions in 2013. As we stated in the past, we will pursue commutations only on economically acceptable terms. In addition, there can be no assurance that the run-off of our financial guaranty portfolio will be completed in a time frame that is any faster than the scheduled pay-down. As shown on our website under "Investor Information - Exposure Info," over 40% of our portfolio is scheduled to remain outstanding ten years from now.

Our fixed income investment portfolio predominantly consists of highly rated, liquid securities (as shown in our Operating Supplement) with a relatively short duration (less than 2 years). This portion of the investment portfolio is intended to be less sensitive to interest rate risk and provide for claims payments and/or potential commutations. In 2013 we began to adjust the composition of our investment portfolio in order to take advantage of available liquidity and the enhanced investment returns available in alternative investments. As of year-end 2013, we held \$23.1 million of these investments with unrealized gains of \$2.1 million. Going forward, we may add to our alternative investments depending on our projected availability of capital and liquidity.

We frequently evaluate and monitor the adequacy of the Company's capital and liquidity to ensure they are sufficient to support the Company's objectives. In 2013 we determined that our capital adequacy was sufficient to support the growth of the P&C segment and to allow us to repurchase, in two separate transactions, 59 shares of the Class B Preference Shares of our operating subsidiary, American Overseas Reinsurance Company Limited. Any further repurchases would be pursued only to the extent we determine that the Company would have adequate capital to support its business following the repurchase. At the holding company, AOG established and funded an irrevocable trust for the benefit of its Series A Preference Shares in February 2014. The Company believes that the funds deposited in the trust will be sufficient to meet its obligations to the holders of the Series A Preference Shares at their maturity.

In 2014 we will seek to further enhance shareholder value by continuing the steady growth of our property/casualty business line, keeping control of our costs and operational effectiveness, monitoring and managing our capital adequacy and liquidity and further reducing our financial guaranty risk exposures, if possible, though economically-beneficial commutations or other settlements. We also may engage in additional preferred share repurchases to meet the needs of our preferred shareholders.

I would like to recognize the valuable contribution by David Steel of his thoughtful leadership during his tenure as President and CEO of AOG and AORE. I would also like to thank the employees of RSSL for their support of AORE's operations during the staffing transitions of the past year, as well as AOG's new Chief Financial Officer, Shelley Fyfe, for her contribution to the first year-end reporting of my tenure. In addition, I am pleased to announce the appointment of Patricia Ryan as EVP and General Counsel of AOG. I look forward to working with Patty, Shelley and the RSSL staff in the years ahead.

Sincerely,

Debra J. Roberts

President and Chief Executive Officer

Delma !). Holsents

Business

American Overseas Group Limited, formerly RAM Holdings Ltd. ("AOG"), and American Overseas Reinsurance Company Limited, formerly RAM Reinsurance Company Ltd. ("AORE" or the "Operating Subsidiary") and, together with AOG, the "Company", "we", "us" or "our", were incorporated on January 28, 1998, under the laws of Bermuda.

On May 2, 2006, AOG completed an initial public offering ("IPO"), and AOG's common shares were thereafter traded on the NASDAQ Global Market. Effective May 14, 2009, AOG's common shares were voluntarily delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market and thereafter trade on the Pink Sheets. In addition, AOG obtained a primary listing on the Bermuda Stock Exchange effective May 14, 2009.

On December 7, 2012, AORE re-domesticated from Bermuda to Barbados. In connection with the re-domestication, AORE received approval of the Barbados Financial Services Commission ("the Barbados FSC") for licensing of AORE as an Exempt Insurance Company in accordance with the provisions of the Barbados Exempt Insurance Act 1983. Prior to the re-domestication, AORE received confirmation of a no objection from the Bermuda Monetary Authority's Insurance Division in accordance with the Insurance Act 1978 and filed a notice of discontinuance under the Companies Act 1981, which was approved by the Bermuda Minister of Finance.

AORE is now a Barbados-based company the principal activity of which is the reinsurance of financial guarantees of public finance and structured finance debt obligations insured by monoline financial guaranty companies (the "primary insurers" or the "primaries"). AORE also reinsures short-tailed property/casualty business. We refer to the primaries that reinsured the financial guaranty business with AORE, as well as the insurance and reinsurance companies who reinsure property/casualty business with AORE, as "ceding companies." AORE has provided financial guaranty reinsurance through treaty and facultative agreements that it maintains with each of its remaining ceding companies. Financial guaranty reinsurance written by AORE generally provided for guarantees of scheduled principal and interest payments on an issuer's obligation in accordance with the obligation's original payment schedule and, in rare circumstances, such amounts are payable on an accelerated basis. AORE no longer writes new financial guaranty business. AORE provides reinsurance on short-tailed property/casualty business through quota share treaties whereby is assumes its proportionate share of premiums and losses from the ceding companies, and pays a commission to the ceding companies.

Business strategy

The unprecedented deterioration in the U.S. housing market which began in the latter half of 2007, and the resulting lack of liquidity in the capital markets had a substantial adverse impact on the financial guaranty industry generally and the Company in particular. As a result of these adverse developments and the downgrades and subsequent withdrawal of the Company's ratings by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") and by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), the Company has not renewed its reinsurance treaties with the primaries or written any new financial guaranty business since 2009.

In response to the economic and rating events referenced above, the Company continued its efforts through 2013, which it began in 2008, to reduce the volatility of its insured portfolio, to reduce its insured risk exposure, to preserve its capital position, to deleverage its balance sheet and to reduce its expenses. The following summarizes the Company's achievements and plans:

Insured portfolio run off: Since 2008, the Company has commuted a significant portion of its insured portfolio, including exposures in troubled sectors such as US residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), asset-backed collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") backed by RMBS and CDOs backed by commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"). The Company continues to consider commutations with its ceding companies at acceptable prices. In addition, the Company has pursued legal actions against its ceding companies in cases where the Company disputes the validity of cessions made under its treaties or ceded losses. The Company is continuing to run off its existing book of business, which could take many years to accomplish, as the longest stated remaining maturity of insured risk in its insured portfolio is approximately 55 years. The run off could be completed sooner if all of the insured portfolio is recaptured by the ceding companies or commuted prior to such maturity. Alternatively, the run off could take longer if claims reimbursements are extended beyond the stated remaining maturity.

Deleveraging and Dividends: During the first half of 2009, the Company completed a common share repurchase program and repurchased \$5.0 million of its Senior Notes due 2024 ("Senior Notes"). During the first quarter of 2010, the Company completed a tender offer for its Non-Cumulative Preference Shares, Series A (the "Series A Preference Shares"), pursuant to which 15,300 shares were tendered out of the 75,000 shares outstanding. The Series A Preference Shares are mandatorily redeemable in 2066. The Company also repurchased \$10.0 million and \$25.0 million of its Senior Notes during the first and second calendar quarters of 2010, respectively. In the first quarter of 2010, AORE completed a tender offer for its perpetual Class B Preference Shares (the "Class B Preference Shares" and, together with the Company's Series A Preference Shares, the "Preference Shares"), pursuant to which 68.00 shares were tendered out of the 500.01 shares outstanding. In addition, during the third and fourth quarter of 2013 the Company entered into two separate repurchase agreements for its Class B Preference Shares, pursuant to which 59.00 shares were repurchased out of the remaining 432.01 shares outstanding. The Company does not intend to actively pursue repurchases of any additional Preference Shares at this time, but may consider offers presented to the Company if economical and depending on available capital and liquidity.

The dividends on both the Series A Preference Shares and the Class B Preference Shares were suspended in 2009. The Company is not permitted under the terms of the Series A Preference Shares to pay common share dividends or repurchase common shares unless full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on all Series A Preference Shares have been paid. The Company has no plans at this time to liquidate, pay common share dividends or to repurchase any of its common shares.

- Reducing expenses: The Company has significantly reduced its operating expenses since 2009. In 2009 the Company (i) de-listed from the NASDAQ and de-registered its securities under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (ii) requested the withdrawal of AORE's financial strength ratings from Moody's and S&P, (iii) cancelled AORE's bank soft capital facilities and (iv) reduced the size of both the AOG and AORE Boards to five members from eleven. The Company also completed a number of redundancies throughout 2009 and the beginning of 2010 to reduce staff costs. On May 1, 2010, the Company, AORE, Reid Street Services Ltd. ("RSSL") and Orpheus Group Ltd. entered into a Management Agreement whereby RSSL was contracted to provide to the Company insurance management and administrative services. The fees payable to RSSL pursuant to the 2010 Management Agreement represented an allocation of the cost of the services and leasehold space provided by RSSL to AOG and AORE based on a prescribed formula. Effective October 1, 2013, AOG and AORE entered into a new management agreement with RSSL, pursuant to which RSSL bills AOG and AORE on a time and expense basis. The hourly rates charged are consistent with the rates charged by other sophisticated captive managers in Bermuda
- Capital preservation and new business: The Company has not written any financial guaranty business since 2009 and does not intend to write any new financial guaranty business in the future. The Company has sought to enhance shareholder value by re-activating AORE in a way that produces incremental cash flow and earnings. In this regard the Barbados FSC has approved AORE's business plan to begin writing property/casualty reinsurance while continuing to run-off its existing financial guaranty reinsurance portfolio. Effective December 7, 2012, AORE discontinued its domicile in Bermuda and continued its domicile in Barbados. In addition, AORE was licensed as an Exempt Insurance Company under the Barbados Exempt Insurance Act 1983. On December 31, 2012, AORE wrote its first reinsurance treaty covering property/casualty risk exposures. In 2013, AORE further expanded this business by entering into three additional reinsurance treaties covering similar property/casualty risk exposures.

There can be no assurance that the strategies that have been implemented or that will be pursued in the future will improve the Company's business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operations or will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Selected Five Year Financial Data

The following financial information for the five years ended December 31, 2013, has been derived from AOG's Consolidated Financial Statements:

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
		(Dollars in thous	sands, unless indica	ated otherwise)	N-100
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net premiums earned	28.383	21,508	15.837	16,763	26,735
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	1,917	(14,802)	17,035	(21,051)	38,780
Net investment income	5,019	6,946	9,266	11.531	14,431
Net realized investment gains (losses)	2,081	737	2.348	2,380	3,810
Foreign currency (losses) gains	(87)	66	(9)	68	473
Net gain on extinguishment of long-term debt and	(0.)		(>)	00	4/3
redeemable series A preference shares	_			26,725	3,403
Net unrealized gain (loss) on other financial instruments	-	2-20	_	20,725	(1,197)
Total revenues	37,313	14,455	44,477	36,416	86,435
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	12,318	22,052	26,031	5,737	20,684
Acquisition expenses	8,566	9,114	10,712	6.116	18,540
Operating expenses	5,558	6,190	6,836	11,860	17,526
Interest expense	5,550	0,170	0,650	918	2,504
Total expenses	26,442	37,356	43,579	24,631	
	\$ 10,871		\$ 898		59,254
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,871	\$ (22,901)	3 898	\$ 11,785	\$ 27,181
Non-controlling interest – dividends					(922)
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders.	\$ 10,871	\$ (22,901)	\$ 898	\$ 11,785	\$ 26,259
Earnings per share				-	
Basic	4.01	(8.60)	0.34	4.47	9.80
Diluted	3.96	(8.58)	0.34	4.47	9.80
Balance Sheet Data:					
Investments and cash	234,456	247,214	337,491	314,060	\$ 357,976
Reinsurance balance receivable, net	10,611	11,561	13,505	17,659	22,345
Deferred policy acquisition costs	24,264	28,776	41,890	54,870	61,900
Total assets	290,201	297,397	401,223	408,352	457,826
Losses and loss adjustment expense reserve	21,783	22,247	80,998	52,412	56,672
Unearned premiums	62,002	72,539	110,187	133,666	153,430
Unsecured senior notes	_	_	_	_	35,000
Redeemable series A preference shares	59,700	59,700	59,700	59,700	75,000
Derivative liabilities	64,974	65,213	48,303	63,525	50,135
Total liabilities	209,204	220,397	300,309	310,551	373,906
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5,295	11,424	12,895	10,813	7,400
Non-controlling interest Class B preference shares of					
subsidiary	6,053	7,011	7,011	7,011	8,114
Shareholders' equity	74,945	69,988	93,903	90,790	75,806
Total equity	80,997	76,999	100,914	97,801	83,920
Book value per share	\$ 27.54	\$ 26.15	\$ 35.53	\$ 34.40	\$ 28.80

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year's amounts to conform to the current year's presentation.

For comparative purposes earnings per share and book value per share have been retroactively restated as of December 31, 2010 and prior to reflect the results of a 1 for 10 reverse stock split which was executed on November 8, 2011.

	2013	2012 (Dollars in thousand	2011 nds, unless indicat	2010 ed otherwise)	2009
Financial Ratios (Based on U.S. GAAP Income Statement Data):					
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio ¹	43.4%	102.5%	164.4%	34.2%	77.4%
Acquisition expense ratio ²	30.2%	42.3%	67.6%	36.5%	69.3%
Operating expense ratio ³	19.6%	28.8%	43.2%	70.8%	65.6%
Combined ratio ⁴	93.2%	173.7%	275.2%	141.5%	212.3%
Non-GAAP Supplemental Data:					
Net par outstanding (in millions)	8,337	9,832	15,668	18,506	20,361
Net debt service outstanding (in millions)	11,355	13,711	24,770	29,448	32,601

Calculated by dividing loss and loss adjustment expenses by net earned premiums
Calculated by dividing acquisition expenses by net earned premiums
Calculated by dividing operating expenses by net earned premiums
Loss, acquisition and operating expense ratio may not total combined ratio due to rounding 2 3 4

Management's analysis of results of operations

Year ended December 31, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012:

Net income available to common shareholders: Net income available to common shareholders for the full year 2013 was \$10.9 million, or \$3.96 per diluted share, compared to net loss of \$22.9 million, or \$8.58 per diluted share, for the full year 2012.

The net income available to common shareholders of \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, was impacted by the following significant factors:

- The financial guaranty business generated favorable incurred losses on the financial guaranty business of \$0.4 million, combined with net premiums earned of \$11.6 million. Net premiums earned for the year of 2013 included refunding activity of \$4.7 million.
- The property/casualty business reflected a roughly breakeven position for 2013, with incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$12.7 million on \$16.8 million of net premiums earned, and acquisition expenses of \$3.9 million.

Net loss of \$22.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 was significantly impacted by the following factors:

- Unrealized losses on credit derivatives of \$17.1 million. The increase in the unrealized loss in 2012 is primarily due to the decrease in the adjustment for the Company's own non-performance risk of \$27.9 million offset by the decrease in the gross unrealized losses of \$10.8 million. Gross unrealized losses on credit derivative policies decreased in 2012 primarily due to the improvements in pricing across the portfolio and particularly on US RMBS policies.
- Loss and loss expenses of \$22.1 million, primarily attributable to (i) a \$13.2 million realized loss on the commutation with FGIC and (ii) reserves of \$4.7 million for the property/casualty business.

Commutations and Settlements:

There were no commutations completed during year ended December 31, 2013.

The following commutations and settlements were completed during the years ended December 31, 2012, which affected 2012 net income as follows:

Effective November 2, 2012, AORE entered into two Commutation, Reassumption and Release Agreements (the "Agreements") with one of the ceding companies from its financial guaranty business line. The Agreements provided, among other things, for AORE to make a \$4.2 million net commutation payment to terminate the reinsurance with respect to certain policies previously assumed, with par in-force of \$12.5 million (the "Released Risks"). In return, each party was released from all liabilities and obligations with respect to the Released Risks. The effect of the Agreements on the Company's results of operations was to (i) reduce gross written premiums and unearned premiums by \$0.9 million, resulting in no impact on earned premiums, and (ii) decrease losses and loss adjustment expenses by \$1.7 million, resulting in an overall gain to net income at the time of termination of \$1.7 million.

Effective October 22, 2012, AORE completed a Settlement, Commutation and Release Agreement (the "FGIC Agreement") with Financial Guaranty Insurance Company ("FGIC"). The FGIC Agreement provided, among other things, for AORE to make a \$64.8 million commutation payment to terminate the entire \$4.4 billion portfolio of financial guaranty reinsurance business it had previously assumed from FGIC. In return, each party was released from all of their respective rights, obligations and liabilities, both present and future with respect to the original reinsurance agreements. The effect of the FGIC Agreement on the Company's results of operations was to (i) reduce gross written premiums and unearned premiums by \$25.0 million, resulting in no impact on earned premiums, and (ii) increase losses and loss adjustment expenses by \$13.2 million, resulting in an overall loss to net income at the time of termination of \$13.2 million.

Net Earned Premiums: Net earned premiums in 2013 of \$28.4 million were 32% higher than the \$21.5 million of net earned premiums in 2012. Property/casualty earned premium was \$16.8 million in 2013, compared to \$6.0 million in 2012, which reflects the Company's expansion into this market. Financial guaranty net earned premium declined \$3.9 million, from \$15.5 million in 2012 to \$11.6 million in 2013. This reflects a decrease in accelerated premiums from refundings from \$6.2 million in 2012 to \$4.7 million in 2013, along with the expected reduction in ongoing financial guaranty earnings due to the run off of the in-force portfolio.

Net Change in Fair Value of Credit Derivatives: Net change in fair value of credit derivatives consists of the following relating to our credit derivative policies:

		ember 31,	
		2013	2012
Change in fair value of credit derivatives:	**		
Credit derivative premiums received and receivable	\$	2,876,780 \$	3,609,978
Expenses on credit derivatives		(1,006,713)	(1,254,972)
Losses and loss adjustment expenses		(115,078)	(83,873)
Realized gains and other settlements		1,754,989	2,271,133
Unrealized gains (losses)		161,920	(17,073,245)
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	\$	1,916,909 \$	(14,802,112)

Net change in fair value of credit derivatives resulted in a total gain of \$1.9 million in 2013, \$16.7 million higher than the \$14.8 million loss recorded in 2012. Net change in fair value of credit derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 included \$0.2 million and \$(17.1) million of unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives, respectively, and \$1.8 million and \$2.3 million of realized gains, respectively. The increase in the unrealized gains in 2013 is primarily due to the decrease in the gross unrealized losses on credit derivative policies of \$22.1 million, largely offset by the decrease in the adjustment for the Company's own non-performance risk of \$21.9 million. Gross unrealized losses on credit derivative policies decreased in 2013 primarily due to the improvements in pricing across the portfolio and particularly on High Yield policies.

Gross unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives in 2013 and 2012 are offset by the adjustment for the Company's own non-performance risk in accordance with fair value accounting standards. The effect of this adjustment for the Company's own non-performance risk was a reduction in the Company's derivative liability of approximately \$47.9 million and \$69.9 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Realized gains and other settlements consists of credit derivative premiums received and receivable, which represents premium income relating to credit default swap policies, net of acquisition expenses and loss and loss adjustment expenses on those policies. Included within realized gains and other settlements were premiums received and receivable of \$2.9 million in 2013, a decrease of \$0.7 million from the \$3.6 million in 2012. The decrease is primarily related to the run-off of the Company's insured portfolio.

Net Investment Income: Net investment income for 2013 was \$5.0 million, 29% below the \$7.0 million recorded in 2012. The decrease in investment income in 2013 compared to 2012, was primarily the result of a decline in invested assets in 2013 due to the amounts paid in conjunction with the 2012 commutations discussed above. Also contributing to the overall decrease in net investment income was a decline in the book yield on the invested assets from 2.7% as of December 31, 2012 to 2.4% as of December 31, 2013.

Net Realized Gains on Sale of Investments and Net Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses: Net realized gains on sale of investments for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$2.1 million compared to \$0.7 million for 2012. There were no other-than-temporary impairment losses incurred for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses: Loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$12.3 million, compared to \$22.1 million in 2012. The incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the property/casualty business were \$12.7 million in 2013 compared to \$4.8 million in 2012. This increase is due to the Company's expansion into this market in 2013. The loss and loss adjustment expense ratio for the property/casualty business was 75.8%, compared to 79.3% in 2012. In 2012, the financial guaranty business reflected approximately

\$0.4 million of favorable loss development, primarily related to a reduction in financial guaranty reserving, mostly on the Assured and FSA RMBS 2007 vintage. Loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$22.1 million, or a loss ratio of 103%. The 2012 loss ratio was primarily attributable to the loss on the FGIC commutation of \$13.2 million, \$4.7 million in reserves related to property/casualty business and \$2.2 million of RMBS incurred losses and loss expenses offset by a \$1.7 million gain on the commutation of a print media whole business securitization.

Acquisition Expenses: Acquisition expenses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$8.6 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. Acquisition expenses are closely related to earned premiums, and thus the decrease in acquisition expenses in 2013 as compared to 2012 was due to the decrease in earned premiums in the period.

Operating Expenses: Operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$5.6 million, compared to \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in operating expenses for 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily due to (i) a decline in salaries and (ii) a reduction in legal fees.

American Overseas Group Limited

Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Year Ended
December 31, 2013





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Deloitte & Touche Ltd. Chartered Accountants Corner House 20 Parliament Street Harnilton HM 12 P.O. Box HM 1556 Hamilton HM FX Bermuda

Tel: +1 (441) 292 1500 Fax: +1 (441) 292 0961 www.deloitte.bm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of American Overseas Group Limited

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of American Overseas Group Limited (the "Company"), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America: this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of American Overseas Group Limited as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

May 14, 2014

Delotte & Touche Utd.

AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2013 and 2012

		2013		2012
ASSETS				
Investments: Fixed-maturity securities held as available for sale, at fair				
value (amortized cost 2013: \$137,930,203; 2012: \$154,334,126)	\$	141,124,332	\$	165,758,285
Fixed income security held to maturity, at amortized cost		4,700,000		-
Equity investments available for sale, at fair				
value (amortized cost 2013 :\$21,000,000)		23,100,370		=
Cash and cash equivalents		12,775,433		36,317,205
Restricted cash		43,687,819		45,138,700
Unsettled trades		9,068,014		-
Accrued investment income		776,719		1,189,414
Reinsurance balances receivable, net		10,611,397		11,561,369
Funds withheld		12,351,481		1,533,086
Salvage and subrogation recoverable		6,683,980		6,686,859
Deferred policy acquisition costs		24,264,064		28,775,647
Deferred expenses		258,409		345,740
Other assets		799,104		90,240
Total assets	\$	290,201,122	\$	297,396,545
1	Φ		J	=======================================

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Losses and loss expense reserve	\$	21,783,175	\$	22,246,663
Unearned premiums		62,002,437		72,538,525
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		745,183		698,507
Derivative liabilities		64,973,483		65,213,710
Redeemable Series A preference shares		59,700,000		59,700,000
Total liabilities		209,204,278		220,397,405
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 13)				
Shareholders' equity:				
Common shares		2,721,182		2,676,608
Additional paid-in capital		232,577,975		231,891,122
Accumulated other comprehensive income		5,294,501		11,424,159
Retained deficit		(165,650,190)		(176,003,604)
Action to the control of the control		(103,030,190)		(170,003,004)
Total shareholders' equity		74,943,468		69,988,285
Noncontrolling interest		6,053,376		7,010,855
				-
Total equity		80,996,844		76,999,140
Total liabilities and equity	\$	290,201,122	\$	297,396,545

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

December 31, 2013 and 2012

28,383,119		
	\$	21,508,357
1,754,989 161,920		2,271,133 (17,073,245)
1,916,909		(14,802,112)
5,019,288 2,081,272		6,946,061 737,056
-		H
		-
(86,833)		65,711
37,313,755		14,455,073
8,566,358 5,558,047 26,442,820	\$	22,051,678 9,114,130 6,190,372 37,356,180 (22,901,107)
1.1.1 1.1.1	\$ \$	(8.60) (8.58) 2,662,318 2,669,674
	5,558,047 26,442,820 0,870,935	8,566,358 5,558,047 26,442,820

AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

December 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Net (loss) income Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 10,870,935	(22,901,107)
Change in unrealized fair value of investments Less: Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income	(4,048,386) (2,081,272)	(733,658) (737,056)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,129,658)	(1,470,714)
Comprehensive income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 4,741,277	\$ (24,371,821)

AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND RETAINED DEFICIT December 31, 2013 and 2012

Total	100,914,022 - 456,939 (22,901,107)	(1,470,714)	731,427 10,870,935	(6,129,658) (1,475,000)	80,996,844
Retained deficit	(153,102,497) \$ 	- (176,003,604) \$	10,870,935	(517,521)	(165,650,190) \$
Accumulated Other comprehensive income	12,894,873 \$	(1,470,714)		(6,129,658)	5,294,501 \$
Additional paid-in-capital	231,467,675 \$ (33,492) 456,939	231,891,122 \$	731,427	7 3	232,577,975 \$
Noncontrolling interest	7,010,855 \$	7,010,855 \$		(957,479)	6,053,376 \$
Share capital	2,643,116 \$ 33,492	2,676,608 \$			2,721,182 \$
	Balance, January 1, 2012 Share issuance Share based compensation Net loss Net change in unrealized gains and losses on	available-tor-sale securities Balance, December 31, 2012 Share issuance	Share based compensation Net income Net change in unrealized gains and losses on	available-for-sale securities Repurchase of noncontrolling interest	Balance, December 31, 2013

AMERICAN OVERSEAS GROUP LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

December 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013		2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss) for the year \$	10,870,935	\$	(22,901,107)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:	10,070,755	Ψ	(22,701,107)
Net realized gains on sale of investments	(2,081,272)		(737,056)
Foreign currency (gains) losses on revaluation	(86,943)		(197,903)
Net unrealized (gains) losses on credit derivatives	(161,920)		17,073,245
Amortization of deferred expenses and depreciation	90,499		106,888
Amortization of bond premium and discount	550,828		673,924
Share based compensation	731,427		456,939
Changes in assets and liabilities:			80
Accrued investment income	412,696		403,660
Reinsurance balances receivable, net	1,036,915		2,141,622
Funds withheld	(10,818,395)		(1,533,086)
Salvage and subrogation recoverable	2,879		(528,898)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	4,511,583		13,114,312
Other assets	(712,033)		56,850
Losses and loss adjustment expense reserve	(463,488)		(58,750,990)
Unearned premiums	(10,536,088)		(37,648,664)
Derivative liabilities	(78,307)		(162,930)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	46,676		(422,626)
Net cash used in operating activities	(6,684,008)		(88,855,820)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of investments	(114,916,131)		(52,735,906)
Proceeds from sales of investments	48,087,071		53,838,924
Proceeds on maturities of investments	49,995,415		91,535,152
Net sales (purchases) of short term investments	-17,773,713		15,004,857
Net change in restricted cash	1,450,881		4,290,023
Purchases of fixed assets	-, 150,001		(13,210)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(15,382,764)		111,919,840
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			1 to
Repurchase of Preferred Shares	(1,475,000)		-
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(1,475,000)		-
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(23,541,772)		23,064,020
Cash and cash equivalents – Beginning of year	36,317,205		13,253,185
Cash and cash equivalents – End of year \$	12,775,433	\$	36,317,205

1. BACKGROUND

American Overseas Group Limited, formerly RAM Holdings Ltd. ("AOG"), and American Overseas Reinsurance Company Limited, formerly RAM Reinsurance Company Ltd. ("AORE" or the "Operating Subsidiary" and, together with AOG, the "Company", "we", "us" or "our"), were incorporated on January 28, 1998, under the laws of Bermuda.

On May 2, 2006, AOG completed an initial public offering ("IPO"), and AOG's common shares were thereafter traded on the NASDAQ Global Market. Effective May 14, 2009, AOG's common shares were voluntarily delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market and thereafter trade on the Pink Sheets. In addition, AOG obtained a primary listing on the Bermuda Stock Exchange effective May 14, 2009.

On December 7, 2012, AORE re-domesticated to Barbados after receiving approval of the Barbados Financial Services Commission (the "Barbados FSC") for licensing of AORE as an Exempt Insurance Company in accordance with the provisions of the Barbados Exempt Insurance Act 1983. Prior to the re-domestication, AORE received confirmation of a no objection from the Bermuda Monetary Authority's Insurance Division in accordance with the Insurance Act 1978 and filed a notice of discontinuance under the Companies Act 1981 which was approved by the Bermuda Minister of Finance.

AORE is now a Barbados-domiciled company the principal activity of which is the reinsurance of financial guarantees of public finance and structured finance debt obligations insured by monoline financial guaranty companies (the "primary insurers" or the "primaries"). We refer to the primaries that reinsured with AORE as "ceding companies". AORE has provided reinsurance through treaty and facultative agreements that it maintains with each of its remaining ceding companies. Financial guaranty reinsurance written by AORE generally reinsure the ceding company's guarantees of scheduled principal and interest payments on an issuer's obligation in accordance with the obligation's original payment schedule and, in rare circumstances, such amounts are payable on an accelerated basis. AORE no longer writes new financial guaranty business. In 2012, AORE commenced writing short tail non-catastrophic property/casualty reinsurance. In 2013, AORE established a segregated cell in an affiliated entity, Old American Offshore Re SCC Ltd. (the segregated cell will be referred to as "OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell"). Old American Offshore Re SCC Ltd. is licensed by the Barbados FSC as an Exempt Insurance Company in accordance with the provisions of the Barbados Exempt Insurance Act 1983. Effective January 1, 2013, AORE transferred its short tail property/casualty reinsurance business to OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell through a novation agreement. The financial position and earnings of the segregated cell have been consolidated in the accompanying financial statements.

Orpheus Group Ltd. incorporated in Bermuda, indirectly owns approximately 43.6% of the outstanding common shares of AOG. Orpheus Group Ltd. holds a controlling voting interest in AOG and is the ultimate parent.

Business strategy

The unprecedented deterioration in the U.S. housing market which began during the latter half of 2007 and the resulting lack of liquidity in the capital markets had a substantial adverse impact on the financial guaranty industry generally and the Company in particular. As a result of these adverse developments and the downgrades and subsequent withdrawal of AORE's ratings by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") and by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), AORE has not renewed its reinsurance treaties with the primaries or written any new financial guaranty business since 2009.

In response to the economic and rating events referenced above, the Company continued its efforts through 2013, which it began in 2008, to reduce the volatility of its insured portfolio, to reduce its insured risk exposure, to preserve its capital position, to deleverage its balance sheet and to reduce its expenses. Since 2008, the Company has commuted a significant portion of its insured portfolio, including exposures in troubled sectors such as US residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), asset-backed collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") backed by RMBS and CDOs backed by commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"). In addition, the Company has significantly reduced its operating expenses.

1. BACKGROUND (Cont'd)

At the present time, the Company does not intend to reenter the financial guaranty market. The Company has sought to enhance shareholder value by re-activating AORE in a way that produces incremental cash flow and earnings. In this regard, in 2012, the Barbados FSC approved AORE's business plan to begin writing property/casualty reinsurance while continuing to run-off its existing financial guaranty reinsurance portfolio. The property/casualty reinsurance business was expanded in 2013. All of the property/casualty business for AORE is currently held in OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell, the formation of which is discussed above.

There can be no assurance that the strategies that have been implemented or that will be pursued in the future will improve the Company's business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operations or will not have a material adverse effect on the Company. Management believes that the Company has sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet its obligations for at least the next twelve months and therefore the Company remains a "going concern." See Note 19 – Risks and Uncertainties, for a discussion of the Company's risks and uncertainties and liquidity.

The Company has not renewed financial guaranty reinsurance treaties with any of the primaries in 2012 or 2013 and does not intend to write any new financial guaranty business. This does not reduce the Company's in-force business, unless the business is run off, commuted or recaptured by the primaries. The Company is not competing in the financial guaranty reinsurance market.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies adopted by the Company:

(a) Basis of preparation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

(b) Basis of consolidation

The consolidated accounts of AOG include those of its subsidiary, AORE. AORE includes the financial position and earnings of its wholly owned segregated cell OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated on consolidation.

(c) Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments, including fixed-interest and money market fund deposits, with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased, as cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost which approximates fair value.

(d) Investments

The Company has classified its fixed-maturity investments as available-for-sale and held to maturity. Available-for-sale investments are carried at fair value, with unrealized appreciation or depreciation reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company's fair values of fixed-maturity and short-term investments are based on prices obtained from nationally recognized independent pricing services. All investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on sales of fixed-maturity investments are determined on the basis of amortized cost. Gains and losses on sale of investments are included in "net realized gains on sale of investments" when realized. The cost of securities

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(d) Investments (cont'd)

sold is determined using the specific identification method. Short-term investments are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value, and include all securities with maturities of greater than 90 days but less than one year at time of purchase. The Company's investment guidelines require the orderly sale of securities that do not meet investment guidelines due to a downgrade by rating agencies or other circumstances, unless otherwise authorized by management to hold. All of the Company's held to maturity investments are carried at amortized cost.

Other-than-temporary impairments on investments

The Company reviews its investment portfolio no less than quarterly in order to determine whether an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") of its fixed-maturity investments classified as available-for-sale exists. An impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if the Company (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the Company does not intend to sell). A "credit loss" is recognized when the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed-maturity investment is less than the amortized cost basis of the security. If there is an intent to sell the impaired security or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, then the entire difference between amortized cost and the security's fair value is recognized as an OTTI charge in earnings in the period. If there is no intent to sell the impaired security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recouping its cost but there is a credit loss, then the credit loss portion of the unrealized loss is recognized in earnings with the remainder recognized in other comprehensive income.

Factors considered when assessing impairment include: (i) securities whose market values have declined by 20% or more below amortized cost for a continuous period of at least six months; (ii) credit downgrades by rating agencies; (iii) the financial condition of the issuer; (iv) whether scheduled interest payments are past due; and (v) whether the Company has an intent to sell the security.

(e) Premium revenue recognition

The Company recognizes a liability for unearned premium revenue at the inception of a financial guaranty insurance contract equal to the present value of the premiums due or expected to be collected over the period of the contract. If the premium is a single amount received at the inception of the contract (i.e. an upfront premium), then the Company records the unearned premium revenue as the amount received. Where premiums are received in installments over the term of the contract then the Company records the unearned premium revenue and a receivable for future premiums as the present value of premiums expected to be collected over the contract period, using a risk free discount rate. The period of a financial guaranty insurance contract is the expected period of risk, which generally equates to the contract period. However, in some instances, the expected period of risk is significantly shorter than the full contract period due to expected prepayments. The expected period of a contract is only used to determine the present value of unearned premium revenue and receivable for future premiums where (i) the financial guaranty contract insures a homogeneous pool of assets that are contractually prepayable, (ii) prepayments are probable and (iii) the amount and timing of prepayments are reasonably estimable. The Company records the accretion of the discount on installment premiums receivable as premium revenue and discloses the amount recognized in Note 5 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Reinsurance.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(e) Premium revenue recognition (cont'd)

The Company recognizes financial guaranty reinsurance contract revenue over the period of the contract in proportion to the amount of insurance protection provided. As premium revenue is recognized, a corresponding adjustment to decrease unearned premium revenue occurs. The amount of insurance protection provided is a function of the insured principal amount outstanding. The premium revenue for each period is therefore determined by applying a constant rate to the insured principal amount outstanding for the period. The constant rate for each financial guaranty policy is determined by the ratio of (a) the total present value of the premium collected or expected to be collected over the period of the contract, to (b) the sum of all insured principal amounts outstanding during each reporting period over the period of the contract. When the financial obligation is retired prior to its scheduled maturity, the financial guaranty insurance contract on the retired financial obligation is extinguished (referred to as a refunding). The Company immediately recognizes any nonrefundable unearned premium revenue related to that contract as premium revenue in the period the contract is extinguished and any associated acquisition costs previously deferred as an expense.

The Company earns property casualty reinsurance premium revenue over the terms of the related reinsurance policies. Unearned premiums represent the unexpired portion of premiums written. Such reserves are computed by pro rata methods and are based on reports received from ceding companies for reinsurance.

(f) Deferred policy acquisition costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs comprise those expenses that vary with and are primarily related to the production of business, including ceding commissions paid on reinsurance assumed. They also include a portion of salaries and related costs of underwriting personnel, and certain other underwriting expenses which are essential to a contract transaction and would not have been incurred by the Company had the transaction not occurred. During 2013 and 2012, for financial guaranty reinsurance, no such costs were deferred as no new business was written. During 2013 and 2012, policy acquisition costs of \$0.9 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, were deferred with relation to property/casualty reinsurance.

Policy acquisition costs related to financial guaranty insurance contracts are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned. Policy acquisition costs related to financial guaranty contracts written in derivative form are expensed as incurred. Where ceding commissions are paid in installments over the term of the contract, the Company records the deferred acquisition costs and a payable for future ceding commissions as the present value of ceding commissions expected to be paid over the contract period, using a risk free discount rate. The payable on ceding commissions is included within "reinsurance balances receivable, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Total deferred policy acquisition costs related to financial guaranty reinsurance amortized during 2013 and 2012 were \$5.1 million and \$8.7 million, respectively. Commissions and brokerage costs incurred with respect to property/casualty reinsurance are deferred and amortized over the terms of the contracts of reinsurance to which they relate. Losses and expenses expected to be incurred as premiums are earned and anticipated investment income are considered in determining the recoverability or deficiency of deferred acquisition costs. If it is determined that deferred acquisition costs are not recoverable, they are expensed.

When assessing the recoverability of deferred policy acquisition costs, the Company considers the future earnings of premiums and anticipated investment income and compares this to the sum of unamortized policy acquisition costs and expected loss and loss adjustment expenses. This comparison is completed by underwriting year and risk type. If a deficiency were calculated, the unamortized acquisition costs would be reduced by a charge to expense. During 2013 and 2012, the Company wrote off nil and \$0.1 million of deferred acquisition costs, respectively, as a result of this assessment.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(g) Losses and loss adjustment expenses

The Company establishes loss reserves based on a review of reserving practices, reported reserves, surveillance reports and other data provided by its ceding companies. In addition, the Company augments the ceding company information with its own research, analysis and modeling.

The Company recognizes a claim liability on a financial guaranty insurance contract (excluding those written in derivative form) when the Company estimates that the present value of expected net cash outflows to be paid under the insurance contract will exceed the unearned premium revenue for that contract. The present value of expected net cash outflows is discounted using a current risk free rate based on the remaining period (contractual or expected as applicable) of the insurance contract. Expected net cash outflows are probability weighted cash flows that reflect the likelihood of possible outcomes, based on all information available to the Company.

The Company updates the discount rate each reporting period and revises expected net cash outflows when increases or decreases in the likelihood of a default and potential recoveries occurs. The discount of the loss and loss expense reserve is accreted through earnings and included in losses and loss adjustment expenses. Changes to the estimate of loss and loss adjustment expenses reserve after initial recognition are recognized in "loss and loss adjustment expenses" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period of the change.

The Company reviews the portfolio on a continuous basis to identify problem credits. Quarterly, the Company reviews reserves. Management establishes reserves that it believes are adequate to cover the present value of the ultimate liability for claims. The reserves are based on estimates and are substantially dependent on the surveillance activities and reserving policies of the Company's ceding companies and may vary materially from actual results. Adjustments based on actual loss experience are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the periods in which they become known.

For property/casualty reinsurance, unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses include an amount determined from individual case estimates based on reports received from ceding companies for reinsurance ("case-basis loss reserves"), and an amount for losses incurred but not reported. Such liabilities are necessarily based on assumptions and estimates and while management believes the amount is adequate, the ultimate liability may be in excess of or less than the amount provided. The methods for making such estimates and for establishing the resulting liabilities are continually reviewed and adjustments are reflected in the period determined.

(h) Derivative instruments

The Company has entered into agreements to reinsure derivative instruments, consisting primarily of credit default swaps that it intends to reinsure for the full term of the contract. While management considers these agreements to be a normal extension of its financial guaranty reinsurance business and reinsurance in substance, certain of these contracts meet the definition of a derivative under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 815 "Derivatives and hedging" ("ASC 815"). ASC 815 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, and requires the Company to recognize the derivative instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value, under "Derivative assets or liabilities," as applicable, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Changes in fair value are recorded in "Net change in fair value of credit derivatives" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The "Realized gains (losses) and other settlements" component of this change in fair value includes (i) net premiums earned on credit derivative policies, including current premiums receivable on assumed credit derivative polices, net of

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(h) Derivative instruments (cont'd)

ceding commissions, and (ii) loss payments to the reinsured including losses payable upon the occurrence of a credit event. The "Unrealized gains (losses)" component of the "Net change in fair value of credit derivatives" includes all other changes in fair value, including changes in instrument specific credit spreads and reduction in fair values due to commutation of credit derivative policies.

Management uses, as a key input to the estimation of the fair value of our derivatives, the mark-to-market valuation information provided to us by our ceding companies ("the mark"). The Company participates in credit default swaps through a reinsurance treaty with a ceding company and therefore the contract to be valued is a reinsurance contract on a derivative. This contract is not identical to the underlying credit default swaps. In particular, although the Company's contract allows it to share in the economic results of the underlying contracts, it does not provide rights to the same information to which the ceding companies have access. Under ASC 820, "Fair value measurements and disclosures" ("ASC 820"), the fair value of the Company's contract represents the exit price that would be paid to a market participant to assume the reinsurance contract as written; that is, the amount the market participant would require to assume the Company's potential obligations under the contract with the same contractual rights and obligations, including those which limit the information about the ceding companies' underlying contracts that are being reinsured. Given the contractual terms that exist, the Company believes that an exit market participant would look to the information that is available from the ceding companies to determine the exit value of the Company's reinsurance contract. The primary insurers underwrite each of the transactions underlying the reinsurance contract and they have access to all the underlying data related to the transactions. The ceding companies use their own internal valuation models where market prices are not available. The Company employs procedures to test the reasonableness of the mark both in process and absolute terms because we believe that an exit market participant would perform similar procedures when determining an exit price for our reinsurance contract. If it appears that the fair values generated by the ceding companies internal models and reported to the Company are consistent with macro spread movements and general market trends, and the Company believes that the modeling and assumptions that drive the modeling are reasonable (based on the Company's ceding company reviews and review of publicly available information), the Company will use the mark provided by the ceding company as a key input in the determination of the fair value of the reinsurance contract. There is no single accepted model for fair valuing credit default swaps and there is generally not an active market for the type of credit default swaps insured by ceding companies and reinsured by us. Therefore, due to the limited availability of quoted market prices for these derivative contracts and the inherent uncertainties in the assumptions used in models, different valuation models may produce materially different results and be materially different from actual experience. In addition, due to the complexity of fair value accounting in particular on accounting for derivatives, future amendments or interpretations of these standards may cause us to modify our accounting methodology in a manner which may have an adverse impact on our financial results.

The use of valuation information provided to us by our ceding companies remains appropriate for the reasons described above, as well as the fact that the credit default swaps we reinsure are the same as those valued by our primaries, and the Company views its hypothetical principal market to be the same as that of our primaries, being the financial guaranty insurance and reinsurance market. The Company's fair value on credit derivatives is adjusted for the Company's own non-performance risk in accordance with ASC 820.

(i) Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 provides guidance for fair value measurement of assets and liabilities and associated disclosures about fair value measurement. Under this standard, the definition of fair value focuses on the price that

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(i) Fair value measurements (cont'd)

would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability (an exit price), not the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability (an entry price). ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy of inputs in measuring fair value, with the highest level being observable inputs and the lowest being unobservable data as follows:

- Level 1 inputs valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Valuations in this level do not entail a significant degree of judgment.
- Level 2 inputs valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active and model derived valuations where all significant inputs are observable in active markets.
- Level 3 inputs valuations based on significant inputs that are unobservable.

Disclosures relating to fair value measurements are included in Note 6 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Credit Derivatives and Note 7 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

(j) Recent accounting pronouncements

Recently adopted accounting pronouncements:

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210)—Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" ("ASU 2011-11"). ASU 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of the Company's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The disclosure requirements are effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2013. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210)-Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" ("ASU 2013-01"). ASU 2013-01 clarifies that these disclosures would apply only to derivatives, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions, each to the extent that they met one of the two conditions provided in the initial accounting standard. This guidance is effective on January 1, 2013, with retrospective presentation of the new disclosures required. This standard only affected the Company's disclosures and did not affect the Company's consolidated balance sheets, results of operations, or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)—Presentation of Comprehensive Income" ("ASU 2011-05"). This amendment eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statements of changes in equity. The amendment does not change what constitutes net income and other comprehensive income. The entity is also required to present, on the face of the consolidated financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) in which the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)—Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05," which defers certain aspects of ASU 2011-05 related to the presentation of

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

(j) Recent accounting pronouncements (cont'd)

reclassification adjustments. The Company adopted the guidance from January 1, 2012; however, it did not have an impact on the Company's disclosure, financial condition or results of operations or cash flows. In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-02, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" which requires detailed disclosures of the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. These disclosure requirements do not change how net income or comprehensive income is presented in the consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted ASU 2013-02 on January 1, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 did not have an impact on the presentation of the financial statements. (k) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

3. PLEDGED ASSETS

As of December 31, 2013, and 2012, the Company had restricted cash of \$43.7 million and \$45.1 million, respectively, and investments at fair value of \$93.7 million and \$99.0 million, respectively, in trust and escrow accounts for the benefit of ceding companies. Pursuant to the terms of the reinsurance agreements with ceding companies regulated in the United States, the Company is required to secure its obligations to these ceding companies in accordance with applicable state statutes governing credit for reinsurance, and may not withdraw funds from these trust accounts without the ceding companies' express permission. The trust accounts are required to hold cash and investments equivalent to unearned premiums, case-basis and incurred but not reported loss reserves, credit impairments (a non GAAP measure representing losses expected to be paid on insured credit derivative policies), and a contingency reserve calculated by the ceding companies. Management reviews these balances for reasonableness quarterly.

4. INVESTMENTS

In December 2013, the Company acquired an investment in a surplus debenture issued by Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, a related entity controlled by Orpheus Group Ltd., for \$4,700,000, the face value of the note. The Company intends to hold this investment until its maturity on December 31, 2033. The Company carries this investment at its amortized cost of \$4,700,000. This debenture carries a stated annual interest rate of 8.25% and pays interest monthly.

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, OTTI and estimated fair value recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income of the Company's available for sale and held to maturity investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were as follows:

		Included in Accumulated Other <u>Comprehensive Income ("AOCI")</u> Gross Unrealized Losses								
2013:		Amortized Cost		Gross Unrealized <u>Gains</u>		Related to Changes in Estimated Fair Value		OTT Include in Other Comprehensin Income (1)	ive	Estimated Fair Value
Fixed-maturity investments:										
Agencies U.S. government	\$	5,414,439	\$	592,134	\$:	\$	-	\$	6,006,573
obligations ⁽²⁾ Corporate debt securities	es	7,752,905 31,551,539		448,687 915,222		20,805		-		8,201,592 32,445,956
Municipal securities		3,142,406		186,748		-		_		3,329,154
Mortgage-backed secur RMBS	ities:	43,204,635		1,016,078		737,681				42 572 022
		Anadama Productive Anadama Productive Productive Anadama (Productive Anadama (Producti				737,081		- .)		43,573,032
CMBS		10,479,764		723,542		-				11,203,306
Asset -backed securities	3	36,384,515		221,783		151,579		- 9		36,454,719
Total available for sale investments	fixed- \$	- maturity 137,930,203	\$	4,104,194	\$	910,065	\$	-	\$	141,124,332
Fixed income investment held to maturity	nt	4,700,000		-						\$4,700,000
Equity securities available for sale	ole	21,000,000		2,100,370		:=		:-		23,100,370
Total investment portfolio	\$	163,630,203	\$	6,204,564	\$	910,065	\$	0	\$	168,924,702

4. INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

Included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI")

				Comprehensive Income ("AOCI")							
				Gross Unrealized Losses							
						Related to		OTT Include	d		
				Gross		Changes in		in Other			
		Amortized		Unrealized		Estimated		Comprehensi	VA.	Estimated	
		Cost		Gains		Fair Value		_Income (1)	**	Fair Value	
2012:				Gams		ran value		Income		Tan value	
Fixed-maturity											
investments:											
Agencies	\$	17,622,501	\$	1,171,526	\$		\$		\$	10 704 027	
U.S. government	Ψ	17,022,501	Ψ	1,171,320	Φ	-	Φ	-	Ф	18,794,027	
obligations ⁽²⁾		22,815,969		2,187,773						25 002 742	
Corporate debt securit	ioo			(6)		1.051		-		25,003,742	
-	ies	35,805,735		2,674,467		1,051		A. 		38,479,151	
Municipal securities		6,729,666		1,255,303		-				7,984,969	
Mortgage-backed secu	irities:										
RMBS		43,075,613		2,523,902		-		14		45,599,501	
CMBS		11,273,359		1,250,443		-		_		12,523,802	
Asset -backed securitie	es	17,011,283		361,810		-		_		17,373,093	
Total fixed- maturity											
investments	\$	154,334,126	\$	11,425,224	\$	1,051	\$	14	\$	165,758,285	
	-		•		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		
Total investment											
portfolio	\$	154,334,126	\$	11,425,224	\$	1,051	\$	14	\$	165,758,285	
	-	,,	~		4		Ψ		Ψ	100,700,200	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the amount of OTTI losses in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), since adoption of the accounting guidance for OTTI.

The Company did not have an aggregate investment in a single entity, other than U.S. Treasury securities, in excess of 10% of total investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company had no material investments in securities guaranteed by third parties and had no direct investments in financial guarantors as at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Including US Government temporary liquidity guarantee program securities.

4. INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed-maturity securities classified as available-for-sale, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	December	· 31, 2013	December 31, 2012				
	Amortized	Estimated	Amortized		Estimated		
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	_	Fair Value		
Less than one year \$	6,950,268	\$ 7,125,333 \$	23,343,692	\$	23,479,675		
Due after one year through five years	25,082,634	26,392,215	36,338,618		39,374,456		
Due after five years through ten years	1,400,000	1,454,152	14,804,287		16,820,920		
Due after ten years	14,428,387	15,011,575	8,487,274		10,586,838		
Mortgage-backed securities:							
RMBS	43,204,635	43,483,032	43,075,613		45,599,501		
CMBS	10,479,764	11,203,306	11,273,359		12,523,802		
Asset-backed securities	36,384,515	36,454,719	17,011,283		17,373,093		
Total \$	137,930,203	\$141,124,332 \$	154,334,126	\$	165,758,285		

The investments that have unrealized loss positions as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, aggregated by investment category and the length of time they have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows:

	_	Less than 12 Months				12 Mont	ths	or More	Total		
				Unrealized				Unrealized		ι	Inrealized
]	Fair Value		Loss		Fair Value	<u>e</u>	Loss	Fair Value		Loss
2013:											
Fixed-maturity investments:											
Agencies	\$	-	\$	-	\$	a-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
U.S. government											
obligations		-		-		:-		-	-		-
Corporate debt											
securities		2,977,140		20,805		· -		:	2,977,140		20,805
Municipal securities											
Mortgage –backed securities:											
RMBS	1	17,272,840		737,681		-		-	17,272,840		737,681
CMBS											
Asset-backed securities	2	29,248,228		151,579		-			29,248,228		151,579
Total tompovorily	_									_	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 4	19,498,208	\$	910,065	\$	-	\$		\$ 49,498,208	\$	910,065
			200		100		1000				

4. INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

		Less than 12 Months				12 Mont	or More	Total				
		Fair Value		Unrealized		Fair Walnu	_	Unrealized	17	-!- \$7.1		Unrealized
2012:	-	rair value	•	Loss		Fair Value	<u>e</u> _	Loss	<u>r</u>	air Value		Loss
Fixed-maturity												
investments:												
Agencies	\$	_	\$	<u>.</u>	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	
U.S. government	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	-	φ	-
obligations		_		_		.2		_				
Corporate debt										_		
securities		498,880		1,051		_		_		498,880		1,051
Municipal securities		-		-		_		_		+70,000		1,051
Mortgage -backed												
securities:												
RMBS		98,333		14		-		_		98,333		14
CMBS		-		-		_		-		-		-
Asset-backed securities		12		_		_		_		-		_
			-		-				_			
Total temporarily												
	\$	597,213	\$	1,065	\$	-	\$	-	\$	597,213	\$	1,065
	=		_		=		-		_		=	-,,,,,,

As of December 31, 2013, 13 out of 93 securities were in unrealized loss positions compared to 2 out of 93 securities as of December 31, 2012. As at December 31, 2013, the Company's gross unrealized loss position was \$0.9 million compared to \$0.01 million at December 31, 2012. The increase in the unrealized losses as at December 31, 2013 was attributable to the increase in interest rates. Management does not believe these investments to be other than temporarily impaired, and has no intention to sell the securities. Unrealized gains and losses relating to investments, excluding any credit loss portion, are currently recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity as the Company generally holds these investments to maturity. The unrealized gains and losses are expected to decrease as the investment approaches maturity and the Company expects to realize a value substantially equal to amortized cost. None of the securities has been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2013.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized no other than temporary impairments. There was no movement in the amount of OTTI recognized in other comprehensive income during such years and the closing balance of OTTI was \$5.9 million, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, an immaterial amount of net unrealized gains were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income on securities which have previously had a credit loss written off to earnings, respectively.

Proceeds from maturities and sales of investments in fixed-maturity securities available for sale during 2013 and 2012 were \$98,082,486 and \$145,374,076, respectively. Gross gains of \$2,107,795 and \$739,433 in 2013 and 2012, respectively, and gross losses of \$26,523 and \$2,377 in 2013 and 2012, respectively, were realized on those sales.

4. INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

Major categories of net investment income are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
Interest from fixed-maturity securities Interest from cash equivalents Dividend income Investment expense Interest on funds held	\$ 5,166,351 7,995 125,090 (292,881) 12,733	\$ 7,335,214 11,668 (400,821)
Net investment income	\$ 5,019,288	\$ 6,946,061

5. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS REINSURANCE

The underwriting of insured risks and the reporting of underwriting results to the Company are the responsibility of the primary insurers under the treaties. The Company does not "re-underwrite" the transactions ceded under the treaties. The Company's business model has always been that of a reinsurer in which the Company leverages and relies on the operations and reporting of the primary insurers. As a result of this model, the Company is highly dependent on the operating and reporting of the ceding companies. The ceding companies use complex financial models, which have been internally developed, to produce the earnings and run off for their financial guaranty policies in accordance with US GAAP. Management assesses the reasonableness of the ceding companies' reporting by i) discussing with primary insurers their earnings methodology, ii) reviewing the primaries' publicly available information regarding their accounting policies and methodologies, iii) comparing the primary reported information to the results of the Company's own basic model and iv) performing analytical reviews on the Company's underwriting results. Where a ceding company does not report all balances required, the Company makes estimates of the necessary information for a period based on internal models and calculations. This estimation process was not required as of December 31, 2013.

The following tables present a roll forward of the Company's premiums receivable on installment policies for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

(dollars in thousands)	100	Premiums receivable
Premiums receivable January 1, 2013	\$	16,972
Premiums on new policies in 2013		-0
Change in premiums receivable discount		(792)
Adjustments for changes in expected term of policies (including early terminations)		(245)
Adjustments for policies commuted in the period		-
Foreign exchange movement		(116)
Premiums received		(2,211)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$	13,608

5. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS REINSURANCE (Cont'd)

 Premiums receivable
\$ 22,325
-
511
(387)
(3,370)
284
(2,391)
\$ 16,972
\$

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$13.6 million and \$17.0 million, respectively, of premiums receivable, which represents the present value of future expected premiums on contracts where installments are collected over the term of the policy. This amount is included within "Reinsurance balances receivable, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, net of the related ceding commissions payable as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 of \$5.8 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$(1.2) million and \$(0.7) million, respectively, of paid losses (recoverable)/due to ceding companies was netted off "Reinsurance balances receivable, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets where the right of offset with a ceding company exists.

AORE experienced a number of downgrades, commencing in the middle of 2008, by both Moody's and S&P. On May 19, 2009, Moody's downgraded AORE to Ba3 and, at the same time, withdrew the rating at the Company's request. On August 31, 2009, S&P downgraded AORE's financial strength rating to BB with negative outlook and, at the same time, withdrew the rating at the Company's request. As a result of these downgrades, since 2008 certain of the ceding companies have a right under some of our treaty agreements to increase the ceding commission charged to AORE on the U.S. statutory unearned premium balance, as well as premiums payable after the downgrade. This increase applies to all financial guaranty and derivative policies covered by the relevant treaties. The additional ceding commissions charged to the Company have been paid or accrued and deferred and are being expensed in proportion to the earning of the remaining unearned premium, except for credit derivative policies where they are expensed as incurred. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, additional ceding commissions due on the present value of premiums receivable on installment policies are netted off the premiums receivable within "Reinsurance balances receivable, net".

The accretion of premiums receivable discount is included in earned premiums in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the weighted average risk-free rate used to discount the premiums receivable was 3.56% and 3.37%, respectively. The weighted average expected period of future premiums used to estimate the premiums receivable was 8.6 years and 8.9 years as of such dates, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the unearned premiums on these installment policies were \$13.5 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, and were included in "Unearned premiums" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

5. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS REINSURANCE (Cont'd)

The following table presents the future amount of undiscounted premiums expected to be collected on installment policies and the period in which those collections are expected to occur. These amounts are based on the Company's estimates as of December 31, 2013, utilizing information as reported by the ceding companies, and any changes to the underlying information on insured obligations could cause actual results to be materially different from the amounts below:

(dollars in thousands) Three months ended:	Premiums Exped_to be collected				
March 31, 2014	\$	451			
June 30, 2014		484			
September 30, 2014		418			
December 31, 2014		431			
Twelve months ended:					
December 31, 2015		2,363			
December 31, 2016		1,574			
December 31, 2017		1,423			
December 31, 2018		1,501			
Five years ended:					
December 31, 2023		4,378			
December 31, 2028		2,214			
December 31, 2033		1,129			
December 31, 2038		660			
December 31, 2043		484			
December 31, 2048		316			
After 2048		211			

5. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS REINSURANCE (Cont'd)

The following table presents the expected unearned premium revenue and the schedule of total expected future premium earnings revenue on upfront and installment policies. These amounts are based on the Company's estimates as of December 31, 2013, utilizing information as reported by the ceding companies, and any changes to the underlying information on insured obligations could cause actual results to be materially different from the amounts below:

	τ	Change in Inearned			Futu	l Expected ire Earned
(dollars in thousands)	_ <u>I</u>	Premiums	_A(cretion	Pı	emiums
Three months ended	21400		nse.			
March 31, 2014	\$	1,360	\$	86	\$	1,446
June 30, 2014		1,347		86		1,433
September 30, 2014		1,324		82		1,406
December 31, 2014		1,303		82		1,385
Truckie months and d.						
Twelve months ended:		4.007		200		
December 31, 2015		4,927		309		5,236
December 31, 2016		4,558		305		4,863
December 31, 2017		4,077		280		4,357
December 31, 2018		3,866		263		4,129
Five years ended:						
December 31, 2023		14,450		984		15,434
December 31, 2028		9,171		594		9,765
December 31, 2033		5,405		335		5,740
December 31, 2038		2,602		204		2,806
December 31, 2043		1,339		151		1,490
December 31, 2048		868		106		974
After 2048		647		62		709

Accelerated premium revenue for refunded obligations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, was approximately \$4.7 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, and represents the earning of the unearned premiums associated with the unscheduled prepayment of the underlying obligations.

The estimated premiums written for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, were \$(1.0) million and \$(24.8) million, respectively; see Note 11 – Commutations and Other Settlements for details of commutations in the period included within these numbers. Included in premiums written in 2013 and 2012 was estimated accretion of the premiums receivable of \$(0.8) million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Accretion of the ceding commissions payable of \$(0.2) million and \$0.2 million, respectively, was included in acquisition expenses for such years.

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES

The Company has entered into agreements to reinsure derivative instruments, consisting primarily of credit default swaps ("CDS"), that it intends to reinsure for the full term of the contract, unless commuted early in the normal course of business. While management considers these agreements to be a normal extension of its financial guaranty reinsurance business and reinsurance in substance, these transactions reinsured by the Company meet the definition of a derivative under ASC 815. The Company is required to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and measure those instruments at fair value. The gain or loss on credit derivatives will change at each measurement date based on the underlying assumptions and information used in the estimate of fair value. Such fair value changes may not be indicative of ultimate claims. The credit derivative contracts the Company has reinsured require the Company to make payments upon the occurrence of certain defined credit events relating to an underlying obligation. Credit derivative exposures are substantially similar to financial guaranty insurance contracts and provide for credit protection against payment default, are generally held to maturity, and the unrealized gains and losses on derivative financial instruments will approach zero as the exposure approaches its maturity date, unless there is a credit impairment. Since these derivative instruments are considered a normal extension of the Company's financial guaranty business, the Company monitors the risks associated with these policies in accordance with its normal risk management activities as discussed in Note 8 - Losses and Loss Expense Reserve.

The following table provides the components of "Net change in fair value of credit derivatives" included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations related to our credit derivative policies:

		Years ended December 31,				
Change in fair value of credit derivatives: Credit derivative premiums earned and receivable	\$	2013 2,876,780	\$	2012 3,609,978		
Expenses on credit derivatives		(1,006,713)		(1,254,972)		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses (1)		(115,078)		(83,873)		
Realized gains and other settlements		1,754,989		2,271,133		
Unrealized (losses) gains (1)	s=	161,920		(17,073,245)		
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	\$	1,916,909	\$	(14,802,112)		

See Note 11 – Commutations and Other Settlements, for details of the effect of the commutations on the above balances.

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES (cont'd)

Determining Fair Value

In accordance with ASC 820, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is determined based on quoted market prices, if available. Financial guarantors sell credit protection in CDS form to financial institutions in a principal-to-principal market in which transactions are highly customized and negotiated independently. A CDS contract written by a financial guarantor differs from typical CDS contracts entered into by parties that are not financial guarantors because:

- CDS contracts written by financial guarantors are neither held for trading purposes (i.e., a short-term duration contract written for the purpose of generating trading gains) nor used as hedging instruments.
 Instead they are written with the intent to provide protection for the stated duration of the contract, similar to the financial guarantor's intent with regard to a financial guaranty contract.
- Financial guarantors are not entitled to terminate a CDS contract they write that is "in-the-money" and realize a profit on such a position.
- The liquidity risk present in most CDS contracts sold outside the financial guaranty industry, i.e., the risk that the CDS writer would be required to make cash payments, is typically not present in a CDS contract written by a financial guarantor. Terms are designed to replicate the payment provisions of financial guaranty contracts in that (a) losses, if any, are generally paid over time, and (b) the financial guarantor is generally not required to post collateral to secure its obligation under the CDS contract (the financial guarantor may be required to post collateral on their downgrade).

As a result of these differences, we believe there have been few, if any, relevant third-party exit transactions for CDS contracts written by financial guarantors. In the absence of a principal exit market, a financial guarantor determines the fair value of a CDS contract it writes by using internally developed models, as more fully discussed below.

Fair Value Modeling

The Company's CDS policies are not readily tradable as there is no active market for them. Therefore, the Company views its principal market as the financial guaranty insurance and reinsurance market, whose participants would hypothetically be able to assume this business if the Company were to hypothetically transfer a policy.

Each ceding company uses its own internal valuation models where market prices are not available. The primary insurers underwrite each of the transactions underlying the reinsurance contract and they have access to all the underlying data related to the transactions. In addition, they have sophisticated modeling capabilities and services (i.e. Loan Performance and Intex) that allow them to evaluate the performance of all of the underlying credits in a transaction. Given the contractual terms of the Company's reinsurance that limit its access to the terms of the underlying credit derivatives, which are highly individualized, and the underlying loan level data, the Company believes that an exit market participant would look to the information that is available from the ceding companies to determine the exit value of the Company's reinsurance contract, as discussed above. Therefore, the Company, in determining the fair value of derivative instruments, uses credit derivative contract valuations from its ceding companies as a key input. Management then assesses the reasonableness of the ceding companies' valuations by i) discussing with primary insurers their mark-to-market valuation methodology including the nature of changes in key assumptions, ii) reviewing the primaries' publicly available information regarding their mark-to-market process, including methodology and key assumptions, and iii) analyzing the movement of individual derivative policies compared to observable market data, including credit spread movements. Spreads and the related movements, quarter to quarter, are identified from observable market information such as indices, including the CDX, ABX,

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES (cont'd)

CMBX and LCDX indices, as related to specific types of derivative contracts. Overall, the relationship between the widening of credit spreads and fair value is not a linear one due to the mix of policy types (duration, rating, and maturities) within the portfolio. Therefore, it is difficult to calculate the actual magnitude of any increase/decrease in the unrealized gain/(loss) with the movement of spreads alone. Additionally, there are many other assumptions that drive the ceding companies' ultimate fair value assessment namely asset recovery assumptions, correlation across asset assumptions, discount rate used, time to maturity, timing of default assumptions, and collateral posting requirements, where applicable. So while spreads are a significant driving factor in models of fair value, they are not the only variables. Changes in correlation and recovery assumptions can result in valuations moving more or less than the absolute movement of spreads. If it appears that the marks are consistent with macro spread movements, and general market trends and the Company believes that the modeling and assumptions that drive the modeling are reasonable (based on the Company's ceding company reviews and review of publicly available information), the Company will use the mark provided by the ceding company as a key input in the determination of the fair value of its reinsurance contracts on credit derivatives. These fair values are based on estimates and are sensitive to selected assumptions and changes to assumptions could lead to materially different results.

Fair values from the ceding companies' models may differ from values calculated by companies outside of the financial guaranty industry because, according to the ceding companies, the terms of the CDS contracts insured generally differ from other non-insured CDS contracts. Because of these terms and conditions, the fair value of the ceding companies' credit derivatives may not reflect the same prices observed in an actively traded market of CDS that do not contain terms and conditions similar to those observed in the financial guaranty market. These models and the related assumptions are continuously reevaluated by the ceding companies and enhanced, as appropriate, based upon improvements in modeling techniques and availability of market information.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, included in the Company's outstanding par exposure was \$1.6 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively, of CDS that have been fair valued. These derivative instruments had a remaining average legal term to maturity of 16.7 years and 14.9 years as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following tables set forth the Company's exposure to credit derivatives by major asset type as at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

December 31, 2013:

Asset Type (1)	<u>Ou</u>	Net Par tstanding n millions)	Weighted Average Credit Rating (2)	Remaining Weighted Average Legal Contract Term (3)
HY		964.3	AA	11.13
IG		70.2	AAA	3.46
Other CDO		271.8	A	41.22
Total CDO		1,306.3		
			(0)	
RMBS		79.2	BIG ⁽⁴⁾	29.64
Other		160.0	Α	7.64
Grand Total	\$	1,545.5		

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES (cont'd)

December 31, 2012:

Asset Type (1)	Ou	Net Par atstanding n millions)	Weighted Average Credit Rating (2)	Remaining Weighted Average Legal Contract Term (3)
HY	\$	1,490.1	AA	10.2
IG		69.7	AAA	4.4
Other CDO		278.6	Α	41.7
Total CDO		1,838.4		
RMBS		94.0	BIG (4)	30.5
Other		166.9	BBB	8.3
Grand Total	\$	2,099.3		

⁽¹⁾ The definitions of the CDO types in the above table are as follows:

HY – Non-investment grade corporates, predominantly Collateralized Loan Obligations ("CLOs") backed by corporate loans.

IG - Investment grade corporate securities (predominantly corporate, may include limited asset-backed securities ("ABS")).

Other CDO – includes Double-Wrap CDO's, Emerging markets sovereign debt obligations and Multisector collateral, primarily CMBS.

- (2) For the year ending December 31, 2013, these ratings are current as of March 17, 2014 (for the year ending December 31, 2012, ratings were as of March 14, 2013). These ratings are assigned by AORE based on management's judgment and take into consideration the ratings assigned by the ceding companies and the rating agencies. AORE undertakes no obligation to update its ratings, and such ratings do not constitute investment advice.
- (3) Actual maturity of CDS is generally expected to be significantly less than the legal term.
- (4) BIG Below Investment Grade.

In compliance with the requirements of ASC 820, the Company considers its own non-performance risk when measuring the fair value of a liability.

There is no observable credit spread for AORE or AOG, and as such there is inherently a significant amount of judgment, subjectivity and uncertainty involved in the estimation of the adjustment for the Company's non-performance risk. Management has used inputs that reflect assumptions market participants may use in pricing the Company's creditworthiness. In determining the Company's own non-performance risk when measuring the fair value of a liability, the Company uses an implied market price for buying credit protection on the Company and a cash flow model, which models a CDS contract, to calculate a price based on those spreads and cash flows. The

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES (cont'd)

Company identifies comparable entities with active CDS markets to estimate credit spreads for the Company. Such identification focuses on the nature of risk positions (primarily public finance and structured products), ratings and approximate capital adequacy as depicted by publicly available information. Based on this information, as at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company estimated its credit spread to be approximately 1,350 and 2,200 basis points, respectively. An approximation of a CDS contract is made based on a 5-year insured CDS contract, an assumption of a 7 year weighted average life (4.5 years in 2012), and an assumption for par, coupon, duration and the appropriate discount rate based on a 5-year swap rate. The Company believes that these data points may be considered by hypothetical market participants in determining the Company's creditworthiness. The Company also considers other data points that may be relevant. These data points include transactions involving the Company's debt or preferred shares, if any, during the financial statement period. The Company assesses the interrelationship of market prices for these transactions with the results of applying the implied credit spreads described above. Furthermore, the Company considers the interrelationship between observed market prices for similar buyback transactions of other industry participants and their credit spreads and non-performance risk adjustments. These interrelationships are not always intuitive, nor are they necessarily consistent across all observed market participants. As a result, the Company has not directly incorporated these data points into the calculation of the non-performance risk adjustment, but rather has utilized them as a point of reference in assessing the reasonableness of the results of the Company's estimate of the non-performance risk adjustment. The Company will continue to evaluate the significance of any future transactions in the determination of our own credit worthiness.

The effect of applying this requirement of ASC 820 was a reduction in the Company's derivative liability at December 31, 2013 and 2012, of approximately \$47.9 million and \$69.9 million, respectively. As noted above, this calculation is based on estimates, involves a significant degree of management judgment and is sensitive to selected assumptions. Changes to the assumptions used in this valuation could lead to materially different results. For example, a change in the Company's estimated spread would have a significant impact on the amount of the adjustment for the Company's own non-performance risk. Adjustments to the Company's non-performance risk will be recorded in the periods in which they become known or estimable by the Company.

The following table summarizes the estimated changes in fair value of our credit derivatives assuming immediate changes in the Company's non-performance credit risk at specified levels at December 31, 2013:

Est	In	npact of			
Fair Value of					
Deriv	ative Liability	Ne	t Income		
	(\$ in mill	ions)			
\$	(111.5)	\$	(46.7)		
	(84.3)		(19.5)		
	(68.2)		(3.4)		
	(65.0)		-		
	(61.5)		3.3		
	(50.6)		14.2		
	(40.1)		24.7		
	Fa <u>Deriv</u>	Derivative Liability (\$ in mill (\$ (111.5) (84.3) (68.2) (65.0) (61.5) (50.6)	Fair Value of CI Derivative Liability (\$ in millions) \$ (111.5) \$ (84.3) (68.2) (65.0) (61.5) (50.6)		

The Company believes that the above hypothetical spread movements used in the sensitivity analysis of 100, 500, and 1000 basis points are supported by previous large spread changes that have occurred during 2013 and 2012 in our primaries' spreads. Therefore, the Company believes it is not unreasonable for the Company to use these spread movements in the sensitivity analysis. This calculation is based on estimates, involves a significant degree of management judgment and is sensitive to selected assumptions. Changes to assumptions used in this valuation could lead to materially different results.

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTY CONTRACTS ACCOUNTED FOR AS CREDIT DERIVATIVES (cont'd)

Our credit derivative policies are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy in Note 7 since the inputs provided to us by our ceding companies and our own non-performance risk adjustments are from valuation models which place reliance on at least one significant unobservable input. Consistent with the requirements of ASC 820, we believe these models use observable market data when available.

The following table presents changes in the net credit derivative liabilities balance for which fair value was measured under Level 3 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Fair value measurement using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013		2012		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ (65,213,710)	\$	(48,303,395)		
Total unrealized (losses) gains included in earnings (1) Total realized gains included in earnings (2) Net Cash Payments/(Receipts) (3) Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	161,920 1,754,989 (1,676,682)		(17,073,245) 2,271,133 (2,108,203)		
Balance, end of period Change in unrealized gains and losses relating to assets held at the	\$ (64,973,483)	\$	(65,213,710)		
reporting date (1)	\$ 196,695	\$	(17,065,992)		

⁽¹⁾ Included in "Unrealized (losses) gains" within "Net change in fair value of credit derivatives".

⁽²⁾ Included in "Realized gains and other settlements" within "Net change in fair value of credit derivatives".

Net Cash Payments/ (Receipts) includes all ongoing contractual cash payments inclusive of payments to commute credit derivatives (see Note 11 – Commutations and Other Settlements for details of commutations in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012).

7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the guidance of ASC 820 for fair value measurement of financial instruments. ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy of inputs in measuring fair value, with the highest level being observable inputs and the lowest being unobservable data, with the standard requiring that the use of observable inputs is maximized (see Note 2(i) - Significant Accounting Policies – Fair Value Measurements for a description of each of the three levels).

The following table presents the fair value measurement levels for assets and liabilities, which the Company has recorded at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. As required by ASC 820, items are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using										
		Balance as of December 31, _2013_		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2			Significant Unobservable nputs (Level 3)			
Financial Assets: Fixed-maturity investments Agencies	\$	6,006,573		-	\$	6,006,573	\$				
U.S. government obligations		8,201,592		8,201,592		-		-			
Corporate debt securities		32,445,956		-		21,970,331		10,475,625			
Municipal securities		3,329,154		_		3,329,154		*			
Mortgage-backed securities: RMBS		43,483,032		-		43,483,032		-			
CMBS		11,203,306		-		11,203,306		=			
Asset-backed securities		36,454,719		-1		36,454,719		×			
	7			-							
Total available for sale fixed-maturity investments Equity investments available for sale		141,124,332 23,100,370		8,201,592		122,447,115 23,100,370		10,475,625			
Cash and Cash Equivalents		21,843,447		21,843,447							
Restricted Cash		43,687,819		43,687,819							
% of assets at fair value		100%		32%		63%		5%			
Financial Liabilities: Derivative Liabilities (1) % of liabilities at Fair value	\$	64,973,483 100%	\$		\$		\$	64,973,483 100%			

7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (cont'd)

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using									
		Balance as of December 31, _2012_		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable nputs (Level 3)		
Financial Assets:										
Fixed-maturity investments										
Agencies	\$	18,794,027	\$	-	\$	18,794,027	\$	-		
U.S. government obligations		25,003,742		25,003,742		-		-		
Corporate debt securities		38,479,151		-		38,479,151		-		
Municipal securities		7,984,969		-		7,984,969		-		
Mortgage-backed securities:										
RMBS		45,599,501		-		45,599,501		-		
CMBS		12,523,802		-		12,523,802		_		
Asset-backed securities		17,373,093		-		17,373,093				
5000 Tax Fax 5 5 5										
Total fixed-maturity investments		165,758,285		25,003,742		140,754,543				
Short Term Investments		-		-		2.75		-		
Cash and Cash Equivalents		36,317,205		36,317,205		-				
Restricted Cash		45,138,700		45,137,700		-		-		
% of assets at fair value		100%		43%		57%		0%		
Financial Liabilities:										
Derivative Liabilities (1)	\$	65,213,710	\$		\$		\$	65,213,710		
% of liabilities at Fair value	Ψ	100%	Ψ	27.	Ψ	-	Φ	100%		

⁽¹⁾ See Note 6 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Credit Derivatives for further disclosure on the application of ASC 820 to the Company's derivative liabilities.

Fixed-maturity investments

The Company's fair values of fixed-maturity and short-term investments are based on prices obtained from nationally recognized independent pricing services. Where available, the prices are obtained from market quotations in active markets. Where there is no quoted price for an identical security, then the pricing service may use matrix pricing or model processes, such as the option adjusted spread model, to estimate the fair value of a security. The matrix pricing or model processes consist primarily of observable inputs, which may include: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. The Company receives at least one fair value price for each of its investment securities and has not adjusted any of the prices received from the pricing services. At December 31, 2013 all but one security and for 2012, all of the Company's investments were valued using the independent pricing services.

There were no transfers into or out of Level 1 or 2 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

As management is ultimately responsible for determining the fair value measurements for all securities, the Company assesses the reasonableness of the fair values received by comparing them to other pricing information readily available and management's knowledge of the current markets. The Company also assesses the pricing

7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (cont'd)

methodologies and related inputs used by the pricing services to estimate fair value. Any prices that, in management's opinion, may not be representative of fair value are challenged with the pricing service. Based on the information obtained from the above reviews, the Company evaluated the fixed-maturity securities in the investment portfolio to determine the appropriate fair value hierarchy level in accordance with ASC 820. Based on the Company's evaluation, each security was classified as Level 1, 2, or 3. Prices with observable market inputs were classified as Level 2, prices on money market funds and US treasuries were classified as Level 1, and valuations with no significant observable inputs were classified as Level 3 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company holds an investment in a capital trust, classified as a corporate debt security available for sale, which was valued using an analysis to comparable securities, incorporating a spread to the yields on the comparable securities to derive the fair value. Because the investment in this security was valued using significant unobservable inputs, it is classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. There were no liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using unobservable measurements other than those dealt with in Note 6 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Credit Derivatives.

Equity investments

The Company's equity investments are comprised of funds invested in a range of diversified strategies. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the fair values of the funds are based on the unadjusted net asset value of the funds as reported by the fund manager and as such, the fair values of those funds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy. The Company validates these prices through agreeing net asset values to audited financial statements where available, in conjunction with regular discussion and analysis of the investment portfolio's structure.

Other fair value disclosures

Management has estimated the fair value of certain financial instruments based upon market information using appropriate valuation methodologies. Fair value estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amount the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

The Company considers carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, interest, other assets, reinsurance balances receivable, funds withheld, accounts payable and accrued liabilities to be reasonable estimates of their fair values.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the fair value of the Company's \$59.7 million redeemable Series A Preference Shares was approximately \$7.4 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. These fair value estimates are based on the present value of expected cashflows and past trades in our Series A Preference Shares, together with the Company's best estimate of fair value of this instrument. The fair value measurement was classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying amount of unearned premiums represented the sum of unearned premium collected at inception for policies where premiums are paid upfront and the present value of premiums expected to be collected for policies where the premiums are received in installments, discounted at a risk free rate. The fair value of the unearned premiums is the value the Company would receive to transfer those obligations. The Company's market would be the financial guaranty insurance and reinsurance industry participants, similar to that used in the calculation of fair value of insured CDS contracts. Unearned premiums are generally collateralized by the Company by placing assets in trust for the benefit of the ceding company. The Company perceives the fair value to approximate the carrying value. The Company classified this fair value measurement as Level 3.

7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (cont'd)

Our ability to accurately estimate the fair value of our non-derivative financial guarantees is limited. There are no observable market data points as a result of the disruption in the credit markets and significant rating agency downgrades. We believe that in the absence of a principal market, our estimate of fair value described above in a hypothetical market provides the most relevant information with respect to disclosed fair value estimates given the information currently available to us. The carrying value of our non-derivative financial guaranty liabilities consists of unearned premiums, premiums receivable, deferred policy acquisition costs, and reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") as reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value for financial guaranty insurance contracts includes consideration of our credit quality, limited by the collateral which is available to the ceding companies in the trust accounts. The Company accordingly classified this fair value measurement as Level 3.

The following table sets out the carrying amounts and the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Years Ended December 31,							
_	2	013	3	2012				
	Carrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount		Fair Value	
Financial Assets:								
Fixed-maturity investments \$	145,824,332	\$	145,824,332	\$	165,758,285	\$	165,758,285	
Equity investments	23,100,370		23,100,370		-		-	
Cash and cash equivalents	12,775,433		21,843,447		36,317,205		36,317,205	
Restricted cash	43,687,819		43,687,819		45,138,700		45,138,700	
Unsettled trades	9,068,014		9,068,014		-		-	
Accrued investment income	776,719		776,719		1,189,414		1,189,414	
Reinsurance balances receivable	10,611,397		10,611,397		11,561,369		11,561,369	
Funds withheld	12,351,481		12,351,481		1,533,086		1,533,086	
Financial Liabilities:								
Losses and loss expense reserves net of recoveries	15,099,195		15,099,195		15,559,804		15,559,804	
Unearned premiums, net of reinsurance	62,002,437		62,002,437		72,538,525		72,538,525	
Derivative liabilities	64,973,483		64,973,483		65,213,710		65,213,710	
Redeemable preference shares	59,700,000		7,400,000		59,700,000		6,000,000	

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE

The Company's loss and loss expense reserve as of December 31, 2013, represented case basis loss reserves, or claim liability. Refer to Note 2 - Significant Accounting Policies for a description of the Company's accounting policy for insurance losses.

A summary of the movement in the provision for losses and LAE for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is presented in the following table:

Losses and loss expense reserve:		2013		2012
Balance – Beginning of year Less: Salvage and subrogation recoverable	\$	22,246,663 (6,686,859)	\$	80,997,653 (6,157,961)
Net balance – Beginning of year		15,559,804		74,839,692
Incurred related to: Current year Prior years		12,526,297 (207,882) 12,318,415		4,762,823 17,288,855
N	12	12,510,415		22,051,678
Net losses paid related to: Current year Prior years		7,809,541 4,969,483		2,362,659 78,968,907
Total paid		12,779,024		81,331,566
Net balance – End of year Add: Salvage and subrogation recoverable		15,099,195 6,683,980		15,559,804 6,686,859
Balance – End of year	\$	21,783,175	\$ =	22,246,663

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company incurred loss and LAE of \$12.3 million. This was primarily related to the Company's short-tailed property casualty business, with \$12.7 million of incurred losses from the Company's property casualty business. This business increased substantially in 2013 from 2012. The majority of the losses from the property casualty business are from the current year, with only \$0.2 million development on the prior accident year. The financial guaranty reinsurance business generated negative net incurred losses of \$0.4 million in 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred loss and LAE of \$22.1 million. Included in the \$22.1 million of loss and LAE is \$11.5 million relating to commutation payments (see Note 11 – Commutations and Other Settlements for further details of these commutations). Incurred losses during the period ending December 31, 2012 were primarily a result of (i) the Company's reserving with respect to its property and casualty operations resulting in incurred losses of \$4.8 million, and (ii) further adverse development on US RMBS exposure of \$2.2 million, including increased reserves due to declining discount rates used to discount loss reserves. The \$81.3 million in loss and LAE payments are primarily as a result of Financial Guaranty Insurance Company ("FGIC") loss payments of \$11.5 million and payments of \$48.4 million on the FGIC commutation and \$3.9 million on other commutations (see Note 11 – Commutations and Other Settlements for further details); and \$9.1 million on the Company's exposure to Greek sovereign debt.

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE (cont'd)

The ongoing deterioration in the US residential mortgage markets which began in 2007 resulted in a significant amount of case-basis loss reserves being recorded on the RMBS policies that have defaulted or have a high probability of defaulting. The Company's US RMBS exposure includes obligations backed by Alt-A, subprime, closed-end second mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit. Alt-A and subprime mortgage loans tend to be first lien products, while closed-end second and home equity lines of credit mortgages tend to be second lien products During 2013, the Company's US RMBS exposures had negative incurred losses due to improved mortgage delinquency rates, representation and warranty settlements, a servicing transfer, principal pay-down and higher discount rates used to determine the Company's reserves. The Company's estimate of loss reserves related to US RMBS exposure represents management's best estimate of total future losses for these exposures, but actual losses may differ materially from these estimates. The Company continues to monitor the performance of these exposures and will update estimates of loss as new information reflecting future performance is available and any changes will be recorded in the period in which they occur.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company gave credit of \$3.0 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, in its case reserves for the benefit of expected recoveries in US RMBS transactions resulting from required repurchases by the originators due to contractual breaches of representations and warranties in the RMBS securitization agreements. The credit given for such repurchase recoveries at year-end 2013 and 2012 approximates the credit reported to the Company by the ceding companies in their ceded reserves, as that is the Company's best estimate of the remediation benefit at this time. The ceding companies performed detailed examinations of sampled RMBS loan files to determine whether the loans conformed to the representations and warranties made by the sponsors of the RMBS. The sampled loans were either in later stages of delinquency or had been charged off. Those loans that showed a material breach of representations and warranties are in the process of being put back to the sponsors for repurchase. The Company views the obligation to repurchase as a standard provision of RMBS securitizations that has been enforced for many years. Thus, the Company views the inclusion of the credit taken by the primaries in its own case reserves to be appropriate and generally assumes its proportionate share of the credit given by the ceding companies when establishing its case reserves as of year-end 2013 and 2012.

To determine the adequacy of its aggregate reserves, the Company considers the loss reserves established by its ceding companies for the exposures it has reinsured as well as the methodologies used by the ceding companies to calculate such ceded loss reserves. To further evaluate the ceded reserve amounts established by the ceding companies, the Company uses its own expected loss forecasting methodologies. Ultimately, the Company decides on an individual credit-by-credit basis whether to establish the ceding company's reserve as its own or to use its own forecast methodology to determine the reserve for such credit. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company's recorded loss and LAE reserves for financial guaranty contracts are \$9.0 million (2012: \$12.2 million) higher than the reserves reported by the primaries.

The Company uses one of two approaches to perform its own forecast of expected losses. The first approach is a statistical expected loss approach, which considers the likelihood of alternative outcomes. The statistical expected loss is a function of: (i) the net par outstanding on the credit; (ii) internally developed historical default assumptions (taking into consideration internal ratings and remaining term to maturity of an obligation); (iii) internally developed loss severities; and (iv) a discount factor. This approach is referred to by the Company as the probabilistic expected loss ("PEL") modeling approach. The loss severities and default assumptions are based on rating agency information, are specific to each bond type and are established and approved by the Company's Management Committee. For certain credit exposures, the Company's surveillance activities may provide information relevant to adjust the estimate of the statistical expected losses. As such, the default probability or loss severity for such exposures under certain probabilistic scenarios may be adjusted based on the judgment of senior management.

The second approach entails the use of more precise estimates of expected net cash outflows (future claim payments, net of potential recoveries, expected to be paid to the holder of the insured financial obligation). The Company's risk management staff considers the likelihood of alternative possible outcomes and develops alternative loss

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE (cont'd)

scenarios, in conjunction with a review of historical performance data of the collateral pools. In this approach, a probability-weighted expected loss estimate is developed based on assigning probabilities to multiple net claim payment scenarios and applying an appropriate discount factor. For RMBS, the Company takes into account the first loss protective features inherent in the structure of the insured exposure, collateral losses to date, current delinquency rates and loan product characteristics such as loan-to-value ratio and credit score. The first loss protection in most of the Company's RMBS transactions is provided by excess spread, overcollateralization, subordination, and in some cases mortgage pool insurance.

A loss reserve is recorded for the excess, if any, of estimated expected losses (net cash outflows) over unearned premium reserve ("UPR"). For certain policies, estimated potential recoveries exceed estimated future claim payments because all or a portion of such recoveries relate to claims previously paid. The expected net cash inflows for these policies are recorded as a recoverable asset.

The discount factor applied is based on a risk-free discount rate corresponding to the remaining expected weighted-average life of the exposure or based on multiple risk-free discount rates related to the timing of individual claims payments. The discount factors are updated for the current risk-free rates each reporting period. As of December 31, 2013, the Company used risk free rates ranging from 0.13% to 4.52% to discount reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses. As of December 31, 2012, the Company used risk free rates ranging from 0.15% to 3.39% to discount reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

The Company establishes reserves that it believes are adequate to cover the present value of ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of UPR. These reserves are based on estimates and may vary materially from actual results.

The Company also identifies problem credits through information provided by the ceding companies at least on a quarterly basis. Such information generally consists of surveillance and underwriting reports and quarterly correspondence and/or conference calls with the ceding companies' analysts. The Company supplements this input with their own research to identify and assess the status of individual credits. Research performed includes reviews of rating agency and fixed income research publications and analysis of historical performance data. Each of the ceding companies maintains a "watch list" for credits that have been identified as requiring a greater than usual level of ongoing scrutiny and/or intervention. The ceding companies notify the Company when any ceded exposure has been placed on such a watch list.

The Company maintains its own Watch List to identify those transactions requiring increased monitoring. The Company typically places a transaction on the Watch List if the ceding company places a transaction on its watch list, and the Company generally employs a mapping of each watch list category of each ceding company to the Company's own Watch List categories. The Company also surveys market segments on an as-needed basis based on market trends, and may add transactions to the Watch List as a result of such survey even if the ceding company has not added the transaction to its watch list.

Transactions on the Company's Watch List are divided into four categories generally based upon the following definitions:

 Category 1 includes transactions for which performance of the issue or that of an issuance participant is sufficiently below expectations where increased monitoring is required; however, the risk of loss remains remote.

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE (cont'd)

- Category 2 transactions include those for which performance of an issue or that of an issuance
 participant is sufficiently below expectations where increased monitoring is required and remedial
 intervention by the ceding company is either planned or already in progress. Performance issues occur
 when the performance of an issue does not stabilize or improve over the intermediate term and concerns
 about the transaction's ability to meet its debt service obligations may arise.
- Category 3 includes transactions where performance has deteriorated to the point where concerns about continued ability to meet debt service requirements on a timely basis are substantial. Also included are transactions where claims have been paid but recoveries are forecast for the claims.
- Category 4 transactions include those for which ultimate net loss (net of recoveries and premium receivable) is expected in the most-probable scenarios.

Each transaction in Category 3 or 4 of the Watch List is generally reviewed quarterly to determine whether material changes are noted by the ceding company or by the Company. If material adverse changes are identified, surveillance reports are requested from the ceding company and discussions are held to assess the deterioration and outlook for the credit.

The Company may have transactions in Categories 1 or 2 on the Watch List or transactions not on the Watch List for which the Company has established loss reserves based on its PEL modeling analysis. These transactions are typically not on the ceding primary's watch list and are assigned reserves in the Company's PEL modeling primarily due to low premium pricing, not due to poor transaction performance. Further surveillance and modeling may result in the Company placing these transactions on the Watch List or downgrading the assigned category. In addition, the Company may have transactions for which it projects prior claim recoveries that are not on the Watch List because they have no remaining par outstanding. Such transactions are reflected in the tables below.

The Company does not perform loss mitigation activities and instead relies on the loss mitigation efforts of the ceding companies that report the Company's proportionate share of the expenses incurred and liability arising from such activities. The Company pays the ceding companies a ceding commission for all policies reinsured. The ceding commission represents the Company's portion of the cost to the ceding companies to write the transaction, perform ongoing surveillance and to undertake loss mitigation activities. Ceding commissions are deferred and expensed as each policy's exposure matures and are included as an asset in deferred policy acquisition costs and as acquisition expenses in the statement of operations. The Company reports loss expenses associated with claims as a liability in losses and loss expense reserves on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and in loss and loss adjustment expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE (cont'd)

The following table provides information about the financial guaranty policies and related loss reserves in each of the Company's Watch List categories as of December 31, 2013:

(dollars in millions)	Surveillance Categories Deals not											
(donars in mimons)		watch list	Ca	ategory 1	C	ategory 2	Ca	ategory 3	Ca	ategory 4		Total
Number of policies Remaining weighted average contract period (in yrs) Insured contractual payments outstanding:		14 16		22 20		15 17		17 14		49 19		117 17
Principal Interest	\$	60.2	\$	149.6 118.1	\$	247.7 82.1	\$	179.3 94.0	\$	121.1 51.7	\$	758.0 370.6
Total	\$	84.9	\$	267.7	\$	329.8	\$	273.4	\$	172.8	\$	1,128.6
Gross Claim Liability Less: Gross potential recoveries Discount, net	\$	(0.6) (1.0) 0.0	\$	0.0 (0.2)	\$	3.4 (0.0) (0.3)	\$	4.9 (4.3) (0.3)	\$	19.6 (3.3) (2.7)	\$	28.4 (8.6) (3.5)
Net Claim Liability	\$	(1.6)	\$	0.9	\$	3.1	\$	0.3	\$	13.6	\$	16.3
Unearned premium revenue ₍₁₎	\$	0.9	\$	0.5	\$	2.3	\$	2.3	\$	0.7	\$	6.7
Net Claim liability reported in the Bala	nce	Sheet re	lated	to finan	cial g	guarantee	:				\$	9.6
Reinsurance recoverables											÷	-

8. LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSE RESERVE (cont'd)

The following table provides information about the financial guaranty policies and related loss reserves in each of the Company's Watch List categories as of December 31, 2012:

(dollars in millions)		als not	Surveillance Categories									
(donars in initions)		watch list	Ca	ategory 1	Ca	ategory 2	Ca	itegory 3	Ca	ategory 4		Total
Number of policies		13		18		20		22		44		117
Remaining weighted average contract period (in yrs) Insured contractual payments outstanding:		12		20		18		21		20		18
Principal	\$	72.0	\$	94.3	\$	265.4	\$	50.1	\$	133.6	\$	615.4
Interest	_	31.3		81.3	_	94.0	_	15.1	_	47.2	_	268.9
Total	\$	103.3	\$	175.6	\$	359.4	\$	65.2	\$	180.8	\$	884.3
Gross Claim Liability Less:	\$	1.3	\$	1.7	\$	3.8	\$	2.7	\$	22.5	\$	32.0
Gross potential recoveries		(1.2)		(0.0)		(0.0)		(6.3)		(4.4)		(11.9)
Discount, net		(0.1)		(0.2)	17	(0.2)		(0.1)		(0.7)		(1.3)
Net Claim Liability	\$	0.0	\$	1.5	\$	3.6	\$	(3.7)	\$	17.4	\$	18.8
Unearned premium revenue ⁽¹⁾	\$	1.0	\$	0.7	\$	2.4	\$	0.9	\$	0.6	\$	5.6
Net Claim liability reported in the Bala	ance	Sheet re	lated	to finan	cial g	guarantee	;				\$	13.2
Reinsurance recoverables												-

On policies with a loss reserve but excluding those policies with a recoverable as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Categories 1 to 4 in the above table include all financial guaranty contracts on the Company's Watch List at December 31, 2013 and 2012, whether or not they have reserves on them. The column entitled "Deals not on Watch List" includes only financial guaranty exposures for which the Company has established reserves. Policies written in credit derivative form are not included in the above tables. Due to rounding, the numbers in the above tables may not add up to the totals.

9. OUTSTANDING EXPOSURE

The Company's business consists of financial guaranty reinsurance, the purpose of which is to indemnify a primary financial guarantor, referred to as the "primary insurer" or "ceding company," against the portion of any loss it may sustain under financial guaranty policies it has ceded to the Company. The Company reinsures policies covering both U.S. and international exposures. The Company's portfolio as of December 31, 2013 was diversified by geographic and bond market sector, with no single obligor representing more than 2.1% of the Company's total outstanding ("OS") par insured.

The following table presents the Company's net par outstanding by credit sector and type of guaranty as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013			2012	
(dollars in millions)	Total OS	% of		Total OS	% of
	<u>Par</u>	<u>Total</u>		<u>Par</u>	Total
US Public Finance				96	
General Obligation and Lease \$		28.8	\$	2,855	29.0
Tax backed	327	3.9		430	4.4
Transportation	752	9.0		899	9.1
Healthcare	378	4.5		452	4.6
Utility	665	8.0		790	8.0
Higher Education	182	2.2		193	2.0
Other	82	0.9		100	1.1
Escrowed (1)	722	8.7		581	5.9
Total US Public Finance \$	5,510	66.1%	\$	6,300	64.1%
US Structured Finance Commercial ABS and CDOs RMBS	893 197	10.7 2.3	\$	1,388 245	14.1 2.4
Other Structured Finance & Corporate	64	0.8		65	0.8
Total US Structured Finance \$	1,154	13.8%	\$	1,698	17.3%
International	200		_		
Asset-backed \$	702	8.4	\$	775	7.9
Public Finance	481	5.8		554	5.6
Investor Owned Utilities and Other	490	5.9		505	5.1
Total International \$	1,673	20.1%	\$	1,834	18.6%
Total \$	8,337	100%	\$	9,832	100.0%

Due to rounding the numbers in the above tables may not add up to the totals.

9. OUTSTANDING EXPOSURE (cont'd)

Escrowed transactions are defeased bond issuances where our financial guaranty policy is not legally extinguished although cash and securities in an amount sufficient to pay remaining obligations under such bonds have been deposited in an escrow account for the benefit of bondholders. Although AORE believe they have little to no remaining credit risk on these transactions, they remain outstanding for reporting under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Our previous reporting presented our insured portfolio net of escrowed transactions. Accordingly, the par outstanding as of December 31, 2012 in the table is presented gross.

Net outstanding par reinsured at December 31, 2013 and 2012, by geographic location was as follows:

			2013	2012 (1)				
(dollars in millions)	9	OS Par	<u>%</u>		OS Par	<u>%</u>		
International	\$	1,673	20.1	\$	1,834	18.7		
Multi-state		1,142	13.7		1,683	17.1		
California		1,085	13.0		1,216	12.4		
New York		509	6.1		612	6.2		
Illinois		497	6.0		526	5.4		
Massachusetts		394	4.7		407	4.1		
Other U.S. States		3,037	36.4		3,554	36.1		
Total	\$	8,337	100.0%	\$	9,832	100.0%		

⁽¹⁾ Although AORE believe they have little to no remaining credit risk on escrowed transactions, they remain outstanding for reporting under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Our previous reporting presented our insured portfolio net of escrowed transactions. Accordingly, the par outstanding as of December 31, 2012 in the table above has been revised for purposes of comparison.

The above outstanding par amounts are inclusive of outstanding par on credit derivative policies. See Note 6 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Credit Derivatives for further information on the outstanding par relating to credit derivative policies.

10. PENSION PLANS

The Company contributes, through its management agreement ("the Management Agreement") with Reid Street Services Ltd. ("RSSL") to a non-contributory, defined contribution pension plan based on a fixed percentage of employee compensation. The plans were administered by a third party. Pension expense (inclusive of executives' cash contributions), which is funded as accrued, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$0.05 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

11. COMMUTATIONS AND OTHER SETTLEMENTS

The Operating Subsidiary did not enter into any commutations of its financial guaranty business in 2013.

Effective November 2, 2012, the Operating Subsidiary entered into two Commutation, Reassumption and Release Agreements with one of the ceding companies. These agreements provided, among other things, for the Operating Subsidiary to make a \$4.2 million net commutation payment to terminate the reinsurance with respect to certain policies previously assumed, with par in-force of \$12.5 million (the "Released Risks"). In return, each party was released from all liabilities and obligations with respect to the Released Risks. The effect of these agreements on the Company's results of operations was to (i) reduce gross written premiums and unearned premiums by \$0.9 million, resulting in no impact on earned premiums, and (ii) decrease losses and loss adjustment expenses by \$1.7 million, resulting in an overall gain to net income at the time of termination of \$1.7 million.

Effective October 22, 2012, the Operating Subsidiary completed a Settlement, Commutation and Release Agreement with FGIC. This agreement provided, among other things, for the Operating Subsidiary to make a \$64.8 million commutation payment to terminate the entire \$4.4 billion portfolio of financial guaranty reinsurance business it had previously assumed. In return, each party was released from all of their respective rights, obligations and liabilities, both present and future with respect to the original reinsurance agreements. The effect of this agreement on the Company's results of operations was to (i) reduce gross written premiums and unearned premiums by \$25.0 million, resulting in no impact on earned premiums, and (ii) increase losses and loss adjustment expenses by \$13.2 million, resulting in an overall loss to net income at the time of termination of \$13.2 million.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The determination of reportable segments is based on how management monitors the Company's underwriting operations. Management monitors the performance of its underwriting operations based on the markets and customers served and the type of accounts written. The Company is currently organized into two operating segments: financial guaranty and property/casualty reinsurance. All product lines fall within these classifications. The financial guaranty segment includes the Company's financial guaranty operations which are in run-off and which the Company has no plans to re-enter. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our major customers were the following primary monoline financial guaranty insurers: Assured Guaranty Corp., or "Assured Guaranty", Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (formerly Financial Security Assurance Inc.), or "AGM", Assured Guaranty (Europe) Ltd., or "AGE" (formerly Financial Security Assurance (U.K.) Limited) and together with AGM, "FSA".

The property/casualty segment provides reinsurance primarily related to US short-tail personal lines.

Because the Company does not manage its assets by segment, investment income, interest expense, and total assets are not allocated to individual reportable segments.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION (cont'd)

The following tables provide a summary of the segment results. Certain immaterial reclassifications have been made to comparatives in order to conform to current period presentation:

	December 31, 2013									
(dollars in thousands)	Prop	perty/Casualty	Financial Guaranty	Total						
Net premiums earned	\$	16,807 \$	11,576	28,383						
Change in fair value of credit derivatives:										
Realized gains (losses) and other settlements			1,755	1,755						
Unrealized gains (losses)		_	162	162						
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	1.40,		1,917	1,917						
Losses and loss adjustment expenses		(12,749)	428	(12,318)						
Acquisition expenses		(4,424)	(4,412)	(8,566)						
Operating expenses		(100)	(5,458)	(5,558)						
(Loss) per segment		(463)	4,321	3,858						
Net investment income				5,019						
Net realized gains on sales of investments				2,081						
Foreign currency gains				(87)						
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(463)	4,321	10,871						

	December 31, 2012				
(dollars in thousands)	Prop	erty/Casualty	Financial Guaranty	Total	
Net premiums earned	\$	6,007 \$	15,501	21,508	
Change in fair value of credit derivatives:					
Realized gains (losses) and other settlements			2,271	2,271	
Unrealized gains (losses)			(17,073)	(17,073)	
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives		_	(14,802)	(14,802)	
Losses and loss adjustment expenses		(4,763)	(17,289)	(22,052)	
Acquisition expenses		(1,722)	(7,392)	(9,114)	
Operating expenses			(6,190)	(6,190)	
(Loss) per segment		(478)	(30,172)	(30,650)	
Net investment income				6,946	
Net realized gains on sales of investments				737	
Foreign currency gains				66	
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(478)	(23,982)	(22,901)	

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of its business, AORE engages in arbitrations under its treaty agreements.

Litigation

On April 11, 2011, a civil suit was filed with the United States District Court, Central District of California, Southern Division, by Twenty-Nine Palms Enterprises Corporation ("29 Palms"), one of the holders of the Class B Preference Shares of the Operating Subsidiary. The complaint alleged certain violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Rule 10b-5 thereunder and certain California securities laws, and fraud. The complaint sought undisclosed monetary damages, rescission, punitive damages and attorneys' fees. Effective October 4, 2011, a Tolling Agreement (the "Tolling Agreement") was entered into between AOG, the Operating Subsidiary and 29 Palms. The Tolling Agreement was effective until October 4, 2013 and was not terminated by either party prior to expiration. The Tolling Agreement provided that, within five business days of the effective date of the Tolling Agreement, 29 Palms would have dismissed the actions against AOG and the Operating Subsidiary without prejudice and that, had 29 Palms subsequently sought to assert claims against the Operating Subsidiary and/or AOG related to such actions, neither the Operating Subsidiary nor AOG would have opposed the claims based on the statute of limitations or any other time-based defense, based upon the passage of time from April 11, 2011 to the date that such claim is filed. The Operating Subsidiary also agreed not to oppose such claims based on lack of personal jurisdiction or improper venue. On October 6, 2011, 29 Palms filed a voluntary dismissal of the actions against the Operating Subsidiary and AOG without prejudice.

14. REDEEMABLE PREFERENCE SHARES

On December 14, 2006, AOG issued 75,000 Series A Preference Shares at \$1,000 per share for total consideration of \$75.0 million. The Series A Preference Shares have a par value of \$0.10 per share and a redemption value of \$1,000 per share. Until December 15, 2016, the Series A Preference Shares bear a non-cumulative, non mandatory dividend rate of 7.50%, which is payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15 each year upon declaration by the Board of Directors. After December 15, 2016, if the Series A Preference Shares have not been redeemed or repurchased, they bear a non-cumulative, non-mandatory dividend rate of Three-Month LIBOR (as defined in the Series A Certificate of Designations) plus 3.557%, which is payable quarterly on the 15th day of March, June, September and December of each year, beginning on March 15, 2017, upon declaration by the Board of Directors. Unless previously redeemed, the Series A Preference Shares have a mandatory redemption date of December 15, 2066. AOG can redeem the Series A Preference Shares at any time from December 15, 2016 with no penalty to AOG. Prior to December 15, 2016, AOG can redeem the preference shares at the redemption price and a make-whole amount, amounting to dividends for the remainder of the period to December 15, 2016.

On May 12, 2009, the Board determined to suspend payment of dividends on the Series A Preference Shares; therefore, during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were no dividends declared or paid. The payment of preference share dividends is classified as interest expense. On March 10, 2010, AOG completed a tender offer for the Series A Preference Shares, pursuant to which 15,300 shares, or 20.40% of the 75,000 shares previously outstanding were validly tendered. The Company accepted for purchase all such Series A Preference Shares that were validly tendered as of the applicable expiration date and paid \$3.8 million for all such Series A Preference Shares realizing a gain of \$11.5 million. Following the settlement of the tender offer and as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 59,700 shares of AOG's Series A Preference Shares remain outstanding.

The Company is not permitted under the terms of the Series A Preference Shares to pay common share dividends or repurchase common shares unless full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on all Series A Preference Shares have been paid. The Company has no plans to liquidate, pay common share dividends or to repurchase any of its common shares.

See Note 23 for discussion of the establishment of an irrevocable trust for the benefit of holders of the Series A Preference Shares subsequent to year end.

15. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST - Class B Preference Shares

On December 23, 2003, the Operating Subsidiary entered into a \$50.0 million soft capital facility whereby it was granted the right to exercise perpetual put options in respect of its Class B Preference Shares against the counterparty to the option agreement, in return for which it paid the counterparty a floating put option fee through February 17, 2009. The counterparty was a trust established by an investment bank. The trust was created as a vehicle for providing capital support to the Operating Subsidiary by allowing it to obtain, at its discretion and subject to the terms of the option agreement, access to new capital through the exercise of a put option and the subsequent purchase by the trust of the Operating Subsidiary's Class B Preference Shares. On February 17, 2009, the Operating Subsidiary exercised the put option in the soft capital facility and issued 500.01 Class B Preference Shares to the trust in exchange for \$50,001,000 of proceeds. On March 16, 2009, the Operating Subsidiary elected to pay a fixed rate dividend on the Class B Preference Shares, as a result of which the Class B Preference Shares were distributed to the holders of the trust's securities, and the trust is now in the process of dissolution. As a result of the fixed rate election, if declared by the board, dividends are payable on the Class B Preference Shares every 90 days at a rate of 6.276% per annum. The Class B Preference Shares give investors the rights of a preferred equity investor in the Operating Subsidiary. Such rights are subordinate to insurance claims, as well as the general unsecured creditors of the Operating Subsidiary. The Class B Preference Shares are not rated by S&P since the Operating Subsidiary requested the withdrawal of its ratings during 2009 and have not been rated by Moody's. The Operating Subsidiary has the option to redeem the Class B Preference Shares, subject to certain specified terms and conditions.

15. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST - Class B Preference Shares (cont'd)

The fair value of the put option at the exercise date was \$41.9 million and therefore the value of the Class B Preference Shares on that date was \$8.1 million, being the difference between the proceeds received and the fair value of the put option on the date of exercise. On March 9, 2010, the Operating Subsidiary completed a tender offer for the Class B Preference Shares, pursuant to which 68.00 shares, or 13.60%, were tendered out of the 500.01 shares outstanding. The Operating Subsidiary accepted for purchase all such Class B Preference Shares that were validly tendered as of the applicable expiration date and paid \$1.7 million for all such Class B Preference Shares on March 10, 2010.

On July 16, 2013, the Operating Subsidiary completed a private repurchase of its Class B Preference Shares from an unrelated holder. Under the terms of the repurchase agreement, the Operating Subsidiary repurchased 9 of the Class B Preference Shares at a price of \$25,000 per share from the Holder, for an aggregate repurchase price of \$225,000. This resulted in a reduction of \$146,056 of "Noncontrolling interest" in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On December 27, 2013, the Operating Subsidiary completed a private repurchase of its Class B Preference Shares from an unrelated holder. Under the terms of the repurchase agreement, the Operating Subsidiary repurchased 50 of the Class B Preference Shares at a price of \$25,000 per share from the Holder, for an aggregate repurchase price of \$1,250,000. This resulted in a reduction of \$811,423 of "Noncontrolling interest" in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Following the settlement of these repurchases, 373.01 shares of Class B Preference Shares remained outstanding at December 31, 2013.

The remaining value of the Class B Preference Shares of \$6.1 million and \$7.0 million is included as a "Noncontrolling interest" in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On May 12, 2009, the Board of Directors of AORE determined to pay dividends for the period up to June 15, 2009, and suspend dividend payments thereafter on these Class B Preference Shares. Dividends on the Class B Preference Shares are currently non-cumulative. Dividends on the Class B Preference Shares are only cumulative if the Operating Subsidiary pays dividends on its common shares without paying accrued and unpaid dividends on the Class B Preference Shares. The terms of the Operating Subsidiary's Class B Preference Shares restrict the Operating Subsidiary's ability to pay dividends on its common shares unless all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Class B Preference Shares for the then current dividend period have been declared and paid or a sum sufficient for payment thereof set apart. There is an exception however that permits the Operating Subsidiary to declare dividends on its common shares in such amounts as are necessary for AOG (i) to service indebtedness for borrowed money as such payments become due (or to satisfy any of its guaranty obligations made in respect of indebtedness of the Operating Subsidiary or AOG) or (ii) to pay its operating expenses.

If the Operating Subsidiary fails to pay dividends in full on the Class B Preference Shares for eighteen consecutive months then the number of members on the Board of Directors of the Operating Subsidiary is automatically increased by two with the holders of the Class B Preference Shares having the ability to elect the two additional directors. In accordance with this provision, the Board of Directors of the Operating Subsidiary was increased by two on December 15, 2010 and a special general meeting of holders of the Class B Preference Shares was held on February 14, 2011. Two directors were appointed to the Board of Directors of the Operating Subsidiary at the special general meeting of holders of the Class B Preference Shares, one of whom subsequently declined to accept the appointment, with no further nomination made by the holders of the Class B Preference Shares.

16. SHARE CAPITAL

As at December 31, 2013 and 2012, authorized common share capital was \$9,000,000 consisting of 9,000,000 shares at a par value of \$1.00 per share. As at December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were 10,000,000 authorized undesignated preference shares with a par value of \$0.10 each.

Common shares outstanding as at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 2,721,182 and 2,676,608, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, 14,573 and 5,225 restricted share units were vested and common shares were issued in respect of said restricted share units on a one for one basis, increasing the common shares issued and outstanding.

On September 5, 2012, the Board of AOG approved the payment of director's fees, effective July 1, 2012, to non executive directors in the form of AOG shares. Each of the non executive directors entered into a Share Purchase Agreement with Calliope Investments Ltd. ("Calliope"), the parent of AOG, pursuant to which upon receipt of the shares, the non executive directors immediately sold such common shares to Calliope. On September 6, 2012, AOG issued 22,729 common shares in lieu of cash for director's fees. On January 1, 2013, AOG issued 30,001 common shares in lieu of cash for director's fees. AOG also issued 5,538 common shares on October 23, 2012 to a former executive in lieu of a short term incentive award.

17. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

In accordance with ASC 718, the Company recognizes compensation costs based on the estimated fair value at the grant date of the award. For both the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized no compensation expense for share options with an exercise price less than the market value of the underlying common shares on the date of the grant.

As of April 26, 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Equity Plan (the "Plan"). The number of common shares that may be issued under the Plan may not exceed 247,000. In the event of certain transactions affecting the common shares of the Company, the number or type of shares subject to the Plan, the number and type of shares subject to outstanding awards under the Plan, and the exercise price of awards under the Plan will be adjusted in accordance with the terms of the Plan. The Plan authorizes the grant of share options, share appreciation rights, share awards, restricted share units, performance units, or other awards that are based on AOG's common shares. The awards granted are contingent on the achievement of service conditions during a specified period, and may be subject to a risk of forfeiture or other restrictions that will lapse upon the achievement of one or more goals relating to completion of service by the participant. Awards under the Plan may accelerate and become vested upon a change in control of the Company. The Plan is administered by the governance committee of the Board of Directors. The Plan is subject to amendment or termination by the board.

As at December 31, 2013, outstanding awards under the Plan consisting of 86,982 share options and 51,718 restricted share units had been granted to the Company's directors, officers, employees and consultants. Each of the options vest in equal annual installments over a four-year period and will expire at the earlier of the seventh anniversary of the

17. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION (cont'd)

date of grant or the expiration of the Plan. The grant price is the average of the highest and lowest quoted selling price on the grant date. The exercise price of the options at December 31, 2013 ranges from \$6.75 to \$162.00. Restricted share units vest in equal annual installments over a four-year period.

Stock Options

The Company has used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options using the following weighted average assumptions during the period ending December 31, 2012. In 2013 there were no stock options awarded:

2012

	2012
Dividend yield	0%
Expected volatility	138.48%
Risk-free interest rate	0.60%
Expected life of options (in years)	4.0
Weighted-average grant-date fair value	\$ 7.33

These assumptions are based on a number of factors as follows: (i) dividend yield was determined based on AOG's historical dividend payments which have been nil and expected dividend payments in the future which are also expected to be nil, (ii) expected volatility was determined using the historical volatility of the share price of AOG, (iii) the expected term of the options is based on the period of time that the options granted are expected to be outstanding and (iv) the risk-free rate is the U.S. Treasury rate effective at the time of grant for the duration of the options granted. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period and is net of estimated pre-vesting forfeitures of 10% for both periods. The estimated forfeiture rate is based on future forfeiture expectations. At December 31, 2013, the weighted average grant date fair value for options issued subsequent to January 1, 2006 was \$9.90. The Company expensed \$0.1 million in compensation expense related to the stock options for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. As at December 31, 2013, there was \$0.1 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the stock options granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, which is expected to be recognized over the weighted average remaining service period of 0.86 years.

17. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION (cont'd)

The following tables summarize the stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Year ended December 31, 2013 Options Outstanding – beginning of year Granted Forfeited	86,982 - -	49.16 - -		
Outstanding – end of year	86,982	49.16	1.64	\$ 288,345
Exercisable – end of year	65,569	62.04	1.66	\$ 135,393
Weighted average fair value per share of ogranted during the period	ptions	\$		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Year ended December 31, 2012 Ontions		Average Exercise	Average Remaining	Intrinsic
Year ended December 31, 2012 Options Outstanding – beginning of year Granted Forfeited		Average Exercise	Average Remaining	Intrinsic
Options Outstanding – beginning of year Granted	of Shares 68,339	Average Exercise Price Per Share	Average Remaining	Intrinsic
Options Outstanding – beginning of year Granted Forfeited	68,339 18,643	Average Exercise Price Per Share 60.18 8.77	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Intrinsic Value (1)

The aggregate intrinsic value was calculated based on the market value of \$17.23 and \$15.00 as at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is calculated as the difference between the market value and the exercise price of the underlying options.

17. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION (cont'd)

Restricted Share Units

AOG has granted restricted share units to directors, employees and consultants of the Company and Reid Street Services Ltd. See Note 20 – Related Party Transactions. Restricted share units vest annually over a four-year period.

The following table summarizes the restricted share unit activity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Year ended December 31, 2013 Restricted Share Units	Number of share units	Weighted average grant date fair value per share
Non-vested – beginning of year Granted Vested Forfeited	31,428 38,613 (14,573) (3,750)	9.60 17.62 11.04 9.15
Non-vested – End of year	Number of	Weighted average grant date fair value
Year ended December 31, 2012 Restricted Share Units Non-vested – beginning of year Granted Vested Forfeited	16,705 19,948 (5,225)	10.67 8.93 10.46
Non-vested – End of year	31,428	9.60

The Company expensed \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million in compensation expense related to the restricted share units for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The compensation expense for restricted share units is expensed on a prorated basis over the vesting period. At December 31, 2013, there is unrecognized compensation expense related to the non-vested restricted share units of \$0.8 million, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining service period of 3.06 years.

18. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share shows the dilutive effect of all stock options and restricted share units outstanding during the period that could potentially result in the issuance of common shares. The calculation of diluted loss per share excludes the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted share awards outstanding because it would otherwise have an anti-dilutive effect on net loss per share. The weighted average number of common and common share equivalents outstanding is calculated using the treasury stock method for all potentially dilutive securities.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were 74,903 and 84,875, respectively, of stock options excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation because they were anti-dilutive. At December 31, 2013, and 2012, there were 16,796 and 5,249 restricted share units, respectively, included in the diluted earnings per share calculations.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

		2013		2012
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	10,870,935	\$	(22,901,107)
Basic weighted-average shares Effect of stock options Effect of restricted share units Diluted weighted-average shares		2,714,309 12,079 16,796 2,743,141		2,662,318 2,107 5,249 2,669,674
Basic income (loss) earnings per share Diluted income (loss) earnings per share	\$ \$	4.01 3.96	\$ \$	(8.60) (8.58)

19. RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company has not renewed its reinsurance treaties with any of the financial guaranty primaries or otherwise written any new financial guaranty business in 2013 or 2012. While the Company does not expect to write any new financial guaranty business, this does not reduce the Company's in-force business, unless the business is commuted or recaptured by the primaries.

The Company continues to evaluate its financial condition and capital adequacy and may pursue a different set of strategies in the future. In 2012, the Company commenced writing short-tail, non-catastrophe, property/casualty reinsurance business. There can be no assurance that the strategies that have been implemented or that will be pursued in the future in connection with this evaluation will improve the Company's business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operations or will not have a material adverse effect on the Company. Management believes that the Company has sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet its obligations for at least the next twelve months and therefore that the Company remains a "going concern."

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$247.2 million of cash and investments of which \$144.1 million was held in trust for the benefit of our ceding companies and \$1.0 million in escrow accounts, leaving \$102.1 million cash and investments available to support ongoing business. See Note 3 – Pledged Assets, for further information regarding these trust accounts. Currently, losses are paid out of AORE's unrestricted cash rather than AORE's trust accounts which reduces available cash until the trust accounts are adjusted. AORE is not permitted to withdraw

19. RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES (cont'd)

funds from these trust accounts without the ceding companies' express permission. The ceding companies are allowed to withdraw funds from the trust account under certain conditions as specified in the trust agreements.

AOG is a holding company and therefore its liquidity, both on a short-term basis (for the next twelve months) and a long-term basis (beyond the twelve months), is largely dependent upon (1) the ability of AORE to pay dividends or make other payments to AOG and (2) its ability to access debt and equity markets, which is unlikely in the near term given current market conditions and AOG's current share valuation. AOG's principal uses of liquidity are for payment of operating expenses, capital investments in AORE and for non-mandatory dividends on its Series A Preference Shares if declared by the Board of Directors of AOG. As of December 31, 2013, AOG has \$6.8 million of cash and investments and believes that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet its requirements over at least the next twelve months. AORE's ability to declare and pay dividends to AOG may be influenced by a variety of factors such as adverse loss development, amount and timing of claims payments, the amounts required to be held in trust for the benefit of its ceding companies, adverse market changes, insurance regulatory changes, changes in general economic conditions beyond the next twelve months and Barbados law. Further increases in loss reserves and credit impairments would require AORE to deposit additional collateral in the applicable trust account(s) and resulting claims payments in respect of those losses and impairments would increase cash outflows and could decrease the size of AORE's investment portfolio, in turn decreasing income from investments. Although AOG believes that it will continue to have sufficient liquidity to meet its obligations over the long term, it cannot guaranty that AORE will be able to dividend amounts sufficient to satisfy all its obligations, and there can be no assurance that dividends will be declared or paid in the future.

The principal sources of AORE's liquidity are premiums net of acquisition expenses, scheduled investment maturities, and net investment income. The principal uses of AORE's liquidity are for the payment of operating expenses, claims, ceding commissions, and for purchases of new investments and more recently funding commutation agreements. The Company believes that AORE's expected operating liquidity needs can be funded from its operating and investing cash flows for the next twelve months. See Note 15 – Noncontrolling Interest and Note 22 – Statutory Requirements, for further information regarding AORE's ability to pay dividends.

As at December 31, 2013, AORE is not rated by any agency after having requested the withdrawal of ratings from both S&P and Moody's during 2009 following a number of downgrades. The downgrade of AORE's ratings had a material adverse effect on AORE's ability to compete in the financial guaranty reinsurance industry and significantly decreased the value of the reinsurance provided. Due to the above mentioned downgrades, certain ceding companies have the right to increase the ceding commission, as stipulated in the treaties, or terminate the treaties and recapture the business previously ceded to AORE whether written in financial guaranty or credit derivative form. To the extent policies are recaptured, AORE must forfeit to the ceding company an amount determined by formula under each treaty which generally consists of AORE's allocated share of the U.S. statutory unearned premium, net of the ceding commission paid by AORE to the ceding company (subject to a penalty amount in some cases), and loss reserves established with respect to the policies ceded, as applicable. U.S statutory premiums earn on a different basis than GAAP premiums and do not currently include the present value of future installment premiums. The U.S. statutory unearned premiums were approximately \$0.7 million higher than GAAP unearned premiums at December 31, 2013, including unearned premiums on credit derivatives. To date, none of the primaries have recaptured any business. The commutations negotiated during the years 2013 and 2012 were not a result of these treaty terms. See Note 5 -Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Reinsurance, for disclosure on the financial statement effect of increased ceding commission relating to these downgrades.

Some of the exposures the Company reinsures have been written by ceding companies as credit derivative contracts rather than financial guaranty insurance policies. Traditional financial guaranty insurance provides an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty of payment to the holder of a municipal finance or structured finance obligation of principal and interest on that obligation in the event of a non-payment by the issuer. In contrast, credit derivatives

19. RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES (cont'd)

provide protection from the occurrence of specified credit events, which frequently include non-payment of principal and interest ("failure to pay"), but may also include other terms such as settlement of individual referenced collateral losses in excess of policy specific deductibles or subordination amounts. The credit derivatives that protect against failure to pay usually have settlement terms that require the ceding company to pay interest and principal shortfalls as they occur (referred to as "pay-as-you-go"). The Company may be deemed to have assumed reinsurance on credit derivative exposures that have other than "pay-as-you-go" terms. Although the Company considers the occurrence of such payments to be unlikely, the Company is at risk of unanticipated loss payments under insured credit derivative policies that could have an adverse effect on the Company's liquidity. Further, the ceding companies write credit derivatives that are governed by standard International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") documentation which can include various events of default related to the primary insurer itself, such as insolvency of or a failure to pay by the primary insurer on any credit derivative with a particular counterparty, which would not typically trigger a payment obligation under traditional financial guaranty. If a credit derivative (or group of credit derivatives) is terminated upon an event of default, the primary could be required to make a mark-to-market payment(s) as determined under the ISDA documentation. While the Company does not believe that its reinsurance contracts obligate it to indemnify the primary insurers for mark-to-market payments resulting from their default under the ISDA documentation, the primary insurer or its regulator may allege that the Company is liable for its pro rata share of such payments and withdraw funds to pay such claims from the trust account for the benefit of that primary insurer.

The underwriting of insured risks and the reporting of underwriting results to the Company are the responsibility of the primary insurers under the treaties. The Company leverages and relies on the operations and reporting of the primary insurers. As a result of this model, the Company is highly dependent on the operating and reporting of the ceding companies. The ceding companies often use complex financial models, which have been internally developed, to produce their results. The Company performs its own assessment of the reasonableness of the information provided by ceding companies (See Note 6 – Financial Guaranty Contracts Accounted for as Credit Derivatives, Note 5 - Financial Guaranty Policies Accounted for as Reinsurance and Note 8 – Losses and Loss Expense Reserve, for details of the work completed by the Company on this information). However, depending on the nature of the information provided by the ceding company, the Company may not be able to identify errors in the reported information in the period in which it is reported, which may be material, as indicated by corrections of errors in primary reported information in prior period financial statements.

The Company has insured exposure of approximately \$130 million to infrastructure transactions with refinancing risk as to which the Company may need to make claim payments that it did not anticipate paying when the policies were issued. Although the Company may not experience ultimate loss on a particular transaction, the aggregate amount of the claim payments may be substantial and reimbursement may not occur for an extended time, if at all. These transactions generally involve long-term infrastructure projects that were financed by bonds that mature prior to the expiration of the project concession. The Company expected the cash flows from these projects to be sufficient to repay all of the debt over the life of the project concession, but also expected the debt to be refinanced in the market at or prior to its maturity. Due to market conditions, the Company may have to pay a claim when the debt matures, and then recover its payment from cash flows produced by the project in the future. The Company generally projects that in most scenarios it will be fully reimbursed for such payments. However, the recovery of the payments is uncertain and may take a long time, up to 50 years or more, depending on the transaction and the performance of the underlying collateral.

20. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On May 1, 2010, the Company entered into a management agreement (the "Management Agreement") with Reid Street Services Ltd. ("RSSL"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Orpheus which indirectly owns approximately 43.6% of the outstanding common shares of AOG, and which holds a majority voting interest in AOG. As of December 31, 2013, all of the directors of AOG were also directors of Orpheus. RSSL is an insurance management company and provides insurance management services to its affiliate entities. Pursuant to the terms of the Management Agreement, RSSL provides professional services to the Company, which principally includes policyholder and related services; maintenance of books and records; drafting of financial and quarterly reports; production of government reports; the maintenance of the investments and bank accounts; and the provision of office facilities. For its services, the Company is required to pay RSSL a management fee. In 2012 and through September 30, 2013, this fee was equivalent to the sum of leasehold costs and employee costs based on a prescribed formula. Effective October 1, 2013, AOG and AORE entered into a new management agreement with RSSL, pursuant to which RSSL bills AOG and AORE on a time and expense basis. The hourly rates charged are consistent with the rates charged by other sophisticated captive managers in Bermuda. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company incurred \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million in services fees, respectively, from RSSL which amounts are included in operating expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. As at December 31, 2013 and 2012, immaterial amounts remained outstanding and were included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In 2013, AORE contributed \$20 million to OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell. AORE is the sole beneficiary of the OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell, and the assets and liabilities of the segregated cell have been consolidated in the accompanying financial statements. OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell was capitalized and created to reinsure the short-tailed property casualty business which AORE had entered as a reinsurer in 2012. This business is assumed from an affiliate, Orpheus Re Ltd. who in turn assume the business from another affiliate, Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company. In 2013, OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell entered into a reinsurance agreement whereby it assumed all of the short-tailed property casualty business previously reinsured by AORE There was no impact to the consolidated financial statements as the result of this transaction. In 2013, OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell also entered into a reinsurance agreement with an affiliate, Old American Indemnity Company, a Kentucky-domiciled insurance company, to reinsure short-tailed property casualty business. Under the terms of this quota share reinsurance agreement, OAO Re SCC Segregated Cell assumes 75% of Old American Indemnity Company's short-tailed property casualty business effective August 1, 2013. The property casualty business assumed from affiliates are included in the segment reporting section as "Property/Casualty."

In December 2013, the Company acquired an investment in a surplus debenture issued by Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, a related entity controlled by Orpheus, for \$4,700,000, the face value of the note. The Company intends to hold this investment until its maturity on December 31, 2033. The Company carries this investment at its amortized cost of \$4,700,000. This debenture carries a stated annual interest rate of 8.25% and pays interest monthly.

21. TAXATION

The Company has received an undertaking from the Bermuda government exempting it from all local income, withholding and capital gains taxes until March 31, 2035. At the present time, no such taxes are levied in Bermuda.

AORE is registered as an Exempt Insurance Company carrying on general insurance business in accordance with the provisions of the Barbados Exempt Insurance Act 1983 ("Exempt Insurance Act"). AORE, as an Exempt Insurance Company, has received an undertaking exempting it from corporate taxation for the first fifteen financial years, commencing with 2013. After the first fifteen financial years AORE will be subject to corporate tax of 2% on the first \$0.13 million of its profits and 0% on any excess. AORE is further exempt from all other direct or indirect

21. TAXATION (cont'd)

Barbados taxes on its profits and transfers of assets and securities, withholding taxes on dividends, interest or other returns payable to its shareholders.

The Company does not consider itself to be engaged in trade or business in the U.S. and, accordingly, does not expect to be subject to U.S. taxation.

22. STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

The Exempt Insurance Act requires that AORE maintain (among other things) a minimum level of solvency. As at December 31, 2013, the minimum surplus of assets over liabilities was \$2.1 million. AORE's actual surplus was \$133.4 million as of December 31, 2013. For the purpose of compliance with the solvency criteria under the Exempt Insurance Act, assets and liabilities are calculated in accordance with US GAAP.

AORE also must comply with the provisions of the Barbados Companies Act regulating the payment of dividends and making of distributions from contributed surplus. A company is prohibited from declaring or paying a dividend, if there are reasonable grounds for believing that: (a) the company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or (b) the realizable value of the Company's assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and stated capital.

AOG must comply with the provisions of the Bermuda Companies Act regulating the payment of dividends and making of distributions from contributed surplus. A company is prohibited from declaring or paying a dividend, or making a distribution out of contributed surplus, if there are reasonable grounds for believing that: (a) the company

is, or would after the payment, be unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or (b) the realizable value of the company's assets would thereby be less than its liabilities. The Board of Directors of AOG will evaluate any dividends in accordance with this test (and any other restrictions as discussed in Note 15 – Noncontrolling Interest) at the time such dividends are declared.

23. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 14, 2014, the Company established an irrevocable trust (the "Series A Trust" for the benefit of the holders of the Series A Preferences Shares. The Company deposited assets valued at \$3 million in the Series A Trust. An established trust provider in Bermuda serves as Trustee of the Series A Trust. The Company believes that the funds deposited in the Series A Trust will be sufficient to meet its obligation to the holders of the Series A Preference Shares at maturity on December 15, 2066. Establishment of the Series A Trust does not alter the Company's obligations to the holders of the Series A shares.

Subsequent events have been evaluated through May 14, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were issued.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Director Biographies

Set forth below is biographical information concerning each director of AOG and AORE, including each such individual's principal occupation and the period during which such person has served as a director of AOG and AORE.

Steven J. Tynan Age 60 Director since 1998 Chairman of the Board of Directors since 2001

Mr. Tynan is Chairman of AOG and AORE. He is also Director and Chairman of Orpheus. Mr. Tynan co-founded and served as a Partner at High Ridge Capital, a private equity firm that specializes in the insurance sector. until his retirement. He was also President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Constitution Partners, Inc. a provider of financial and M&A advice to Lloyd's of London and a number of insurance and reinsurance companies in the U.S. and abroad. Mr. Tynan was also President, Chief Executive and a Director of International Credit of North America Reinsurance Inc., a specialty surety reinsurer; a co-founder of Discover Re Managers, Inc., an alternative risk transfer reinsurer; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Executive RE, Inc., a specialty D&O reinsurer; Director of Accounting and Tax Policy for Aetna Life & Casualty; and a Tax Supervisor at Ernst & Ernst. He is also a former Director of numerous insurance related entities, including: Acordia, Inc., Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company and SelectQuote, Inc. Mr. Tynan holds a BBA degree from Hofstra University and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Clement S. Dwyer Jr. Age 65 Director since 2010 Mr. Dwyer is Deputy Chairman of AOG and AORE. He is also President of URSA Advisors, Inc., of Portsmouth, New Hampshire, a provider of insurance and reinsurance consulting services. Previously he served as President of Signet Star Holdings, Inc., a reinsurance subsidiary of W.R. Berkeley Corp in 1996. From 1970 until 1996 he held various positions at Guy Carpenter & Company, including most recently Executive Vice President. Mr. Dwyer is a Director of Orpheus Group Ltd. ("Orpheus"). Mr. Dwyer is also a former Director of Montpelier Reinsurance Holdings, Ltd. in Bermuda, a Director of Dowling & Partners of Farmington, Connecticut and a Director of ProSight Specialty Holdings Inc. of Morristown, New Jersey. He received a B.A. degree from Tufts University and completed the Executive Program at Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Debra J. RobertsAge 60
President and Chief Executive
Officer,
Director since 2011

Ms. Roberts is the President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of AOG. She is also President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Orpheus, and is a Director and officer of several of Orpheus's direct and indirect subsidiaries in Bermuda, Barbados and the United States. Ms. Roberts is also the Chief Executive Officer of Debra Roberts & Associates, Inc. which provides risk transfer consulting and arbitration-related services to the domestic and international reinsurance industries. This company has served clients in the United States, Bermuda and Europe since 1993. From 1981 through 1993, Ms. Roberts held various senior positions at three companies within the Swiss Reinsurance Group. She holds an M.B.A. from Fordham University Graduate School of Business and is a Chartered Financial Analyst.

James Zech Age 56 Director since 2012

Mr. Zech is a Director of AOG and AORE, as well as a Director of Orpheus and Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company. Mr. Zech cofounded and serves as President of High Ridge Capital since its formation in 1995. From 2005 through 2009, Mr. Zech was a partner in Northaven Management, Inc., a private investment firm focused on the financial services industry. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Zech was an investment banker at S.G. Warburg & Co., Inc., where he was responsible for forming the U.S. Insurance Group as part of S.G. Warburg & Co, Inc.'s worldwide financial institutions practice. From 1988 to 1992, Mr. Zech was a member of the Insurance Investment Banking Group of Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Securities Corporation. Mr. Zech is a former Director of Acordia, Inc., Alterra Capital Holdings Ltd., Eastern Insurance Holdings, Inc., Front Royal Group, Inc., and James River Group, Inc. He holds a B.S. from the University of Pennsylvania and a J.D. from the New York University School School of Law.

Rochelle P. Fyfe Age 47 Chief Financial Officer, Director since 2013 Ms. Fyfe is Chief Financial Officer of AOG and Orpheus, as well as a Director of AOG, AORE and Orpheus. Ms. Fyfe is also a director and officer of various direct and indirect subsidiaries of Orpheus in Bermuda, Barbados, and the United States. Ms. Fyfe was the Senior Vice President of Accounting for a publicly traded insurance group in the U.S. Prior to this, Ms. Fyfe was a Senior Manager at KPMG, and has held positions as Vice President of Finance and Controller for other publicly traded and privately held insurance companies, including QBE and Winterthur. Ms. Fyfe received a Bachelor of Accountancy from New Mexico State University and is a Certified Public Accountant.

David W. Geiss * Age 46 Director of AORE since 2011 Mr. Geiss is Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Perceptron, Inc., a publicly traded company that develops, produces, and sells non-contact measurement and inspection solutions for industrial and commercial applications. Prior to joining Perceptron, Inc. in 2003, Mr. Geiss was a senior associate at Dykema Gossett PLLC from 1997 to 2003 and an associate at Sills, Law, Essad, Fiedler & Charboneau from 1992 to 1997. Mr. Geiss received his J.D. from the University of Detroit School of Law and an A.B. in Political Science from the University of Michigan.

* David W. Geiss was elected to the board of AORE by the holders of AORE's Class B Preference Shares at the special general meeting of holders of Class B Preference Shares held on February 14, 2011.

Executive Biographies

For biographical information regarding our executive officers, including the biographical information for Debra J. Roberts, the President and Chief Executive Officer of AOG, and Rochelle P. Fyfe, the Chief Financial Officer of AOG, please refer to the "Director Biographies."

As of December 31, 2013, there are no officers with rights pursuant to any employment agreements, and all officers serve at the pleasure of our Board of Directors.

Board of Directors Meetings

The Board at its meeting in April 2012 noted that the Audit Committee, Governance Committee and Risk Management Committee (of AORE) had served the needs of AORE and AOG well, however, given foreseeable levels of corporate activity the Board decided that these committees should be terminated and that the functions of each committee henceforth be the responsibility of, and carried out by, the Board.

Security Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors

Pursuant to Regulation 6.9(2)(x)(a) and (b) of Section IIA of the Bermuda Stock Exchange Listing Regulations, the total interests of all directors and executive officers of the Company in the common shares of the Company as at December 31, 2013, was 115,979 shares or 4% of the common shares outstanding.

One of our directors is an indirect beneficial owner of Calliope Investments Ltd. which owned approximately 43.66% of the outstanding shares of AOG as of December 31, 2013.

Equity Compensation of Directors

The table below sets forth the aggregate number of shares underlying option awards and restricted stock unit ("RSU") awards outstanding at fiscal year-end 2013 for each director as of December 31, 2013, (other than Ms. Roberts, whose equity awards are set forth in "Equity Compensation of Executive Officers" below, as Ms. Fyfe was not appointed as Chief Financial Officer until January 2014).

Name	Shares Underlying Options at Dec 31, 2013 (Outstanding)	Shares Underlying Options at Dec 31, 2013 (Vested and Exercisable)	RSUs: That Have Not Vested
James Zech	2,953	738	4,191
Rochelle P. Fyfe.	-	-	1,265
Clement S. Dwyer	7,136	3,663	5,358
Steven J. Tynan	7,136	3,663	5,358

Share options granted to the directors under our 2001 Stock Option Plan prior to 2006 vested quarterly over a three year period. Share Options granted to directors beginning in 2006 under the 2006 Equity Plan vest in four equal annual installments on the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. RSUs vest annually in equal installments over a four-year period.

Equity Compensation of Executive Officers

The following table shows equity awards granted to officers of the Company outstanding at December 31, 2013:

		Option Awards				RSU Awards		
Name	Number of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares that Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares That Have Not Vested (1)		
Debra J. Roberts	621	621	\$10.90	5/1/2016	-	_		
	653	1,962	\$9.15	5/1/2016	_	_		
	_	_		_	529	\$9,115		
	_		-	_	1,641	\$28,274		
	_	-	1	-	2,340	\$40,318		
	_	_	-	-	25,000	\$430,750		

⁽¹⁾ Based on the closing price of \$17.23 per share on December 31, 2013, the last business day of 2013.

Options granted prior to May 2006 were awarded under our 2001 Stock Option Plan and vest in 8.33% increments at the end of each quarter, beginning with the quarter in which the grant occurred. Our 2001 Stock Option Plan was terminated in May 2006, except as to awards that were already outstanding at that date. No further awards will be granted under our 2001 Stock Option Plan.

Options granted beginning in May 2006 were awarded under our 2006 Equity Plan, and vest in four equal installments on the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. RSUs vest annually in equal installments over a four-year period.

The following table shows options exercised and RSUs vested during 2013:

	Option	Awards	RSU Awards		
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting	
Debra J. Roberts	_	_	547	\$9,299 ⁽²⁾	
	_	_	265	\$4,638 (3)	

⁽²⁾ Based on the closing price of \$17.00 per share on April 26, 2012, the day of vesting.

Director Service Contracts and Other Contracts of Significance

There are no service contracts with directors.

On May 1, 2010 the Company entered into a management agreement (the "Management Agreement") with Reid Street Services Ltd. ("RSSL"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Orpheus which ultimately owns approximately 43.66% of the outstanding common shares of AOG. As of December 31, 2013, all of the directors of

⁽³⁾ Based on the closing price of \$17.50 per share on September 29, 2013, the day of vesting

AOG and five of the directors of AORE were also directors of Orpheus and two of those directors held a beneficial interest in Orpheus. RSSL is an insurance management company and provides insurance management services to its affiliate entities. Pursuant to the terms of the Management Agreement, RSSL provides professional services to the Company. RSSL provides professional services to the Company, which principally includes policyholder and related services; maintenance of books and records; drafting of financial and quarterly reports; production of government reports; the maintenance of the investments and bank accounts; and the provision of office facilities. For its services, the Company is required to pay RSSL a management fee. In 2012 and through September 30, 2013, this fee was equivalent to the sum of leasehold costs and employee costs based on a prescribed formula. Effective October 1, 2013, AOG and AORE entered into a new management agreement with RSSL, pursuant to which RSSL bills AOG and AORE on a time and expense basis. The hourly rates charged are consistent with the rates charged by other sophisticated captive managers in Bermuda.

Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors

Some of the statements under "Business," "Business stragegy", "Management's Analysis of Results of Operations," and elsewhere in this annual report include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform act of 1995. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. These statements include, without limitation, our expectations respecting the volatility of our insured portfolio, losses, loss reserves and loss development, the adequacy and availability of our liquidity and capital resources, our current run off strategy, our consideration of other reinsurance businesses, and our expense reduction measures. Statements which include the words "expect," "intend," "plan," "believe," "project," "anticipate," "should," "could," "may," "will" and similar words or statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the federal securities laws or otherwise.

All forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe that these factors include but are not limited to the following: (i) our ability to execute our business strategy, including with respect to new reinsurance businesses; (ii) changes in general economic conditions, including inflation, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and other factors; (iii) the loss of significant customers with which AORE has a concentration of its reinsurance in force; (iv) legislative and regulatory developments; (v) changes in regulations or tax laws applicable to us or our customers; (vi) more severe or more frequent losses associated with AORE's insured portfolio; (vii) losses on credit derivatives; (viii) changes in our accounting policies and procedures that impact our reported financial results; (ix) the effects of ongoing and future litigation; and (x) other risks and uncertainties that have not been identified at this time.

The foregoing review of important factors should not be construed as exhaustive. We undertake no obligation publicly to update or review any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise. If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those we projected. Any forward-looking statements you read in this annual report reflect our current views with respect to future events and are subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our business, operations, results of operations, financial condition, strategies and liquidity. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or to individuals acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. You should specifically consider the factors identified in this annual report which could cause actual results to differ before making an investment decision.

American Overseas Group Limited Corporate Information

Maiden House, 1st Floor 131 Front Street Hamilton HM 12 Bermuda 441-296-6501 www.aoreltd.com

Investor Information

Information about American Overseas Group Limited, including all quarterly earnings releases and reports, can be accessed via our website at www.aoreltd.com under Investor Information.

Requests for copies of the American Overseas Group Limited 2012 quarterly reports may be made by contacting the Secretary of American Overseas Group Limited at the Corporate Headquarters address above or info@aoreltd.com.

Exchange Listing

American Overseas Group Limited's common shares are listed on the Bermuda Stock Exchange (BSX) located at:

30 Victoria Street Hamilton, Bermuda 441-292-7212 or -7213 www.bsx.com

Transfer Agent

Computershare

Telephone Number:

1-877-296-3711 1-610-382-7833 (Outside the U.S.) 1-888-269-5221 (Hearing Impaired - TDD Phone)

Mailing Address:

Computershare 480 Washington Boulevard Jersey City, New Jersey 07310-1900 USA

Web Address: http://www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess

Independent Registered Auditors

Deloitte & Touche Ltd.

		4 , 4
9		
		İ